

Oregon Climate Stability & Justice Act [HB3470-A](#)

(hyperlinks in blue - electronic version available on request: info@policyinteractive.org)

Oregon's carbon reduction targets are not achieving the goals of Oregon's existing policy.

HB3470 is designed to create a comprehensive policy which will:

1. Achieve Oregon emission goals adopted in 2007 without implementation strategy.
2. Be politically feasible.
3. Navigate Oregon constitutional provisions about fuel taxation.
4. Reflect the preference of Oregon's citizens.
5. Help the economy.
6. Be fair to at-risk populations.
7. Contribute to a larger regional or national greenhouse gas policy.
8. Remain flexible within best science, technology and changing circumstances.

HB3470-A language specifically provides for these key components:

- Gives [ORS 468A.205](#) greenhouse gas reduction goals the mandate it needs.
- Consolidates Oregon laws, rules and policies about emissions into a comprehensive framework.
- Applies the best available science.
- Mandates emission reductions which are real, quantifiable, verifiable and enforceable.
- Requires least cost implementation strategies.
- Requires five year reporting & strategic adjustments.
- Requires full accounting methods for carbon emissions.
- Provides protections that impacts do not fall disproportionately on low income communities.
- Authorizes a market-based trading and auction program similar to [California law AB32](#). California, with the 7th largest economy in the world, designed their law to be joined by other jurisdictions. Nine years of California carbon cap policy is demonstrating that a carbon emission reduction policy is boosting their economy and California is on a path to decouple from fossil fuels. Nine northeast states ([RGGI](#)) also report cap and allocation producing favorable GHG reductions coupled with well above national average average economic performance.
- Provides a policy framework which is supported by a majority of Oregonians (see overleaf).

Self-supporting: The act is designed to be self supporting through authorizing emission fees to be paid by large businesses emissions, using a cap and trade auctioning of emission permits. Auction proceeds are anticipated to yield several hundred million dollars a year for investments in conservation, renewables, research and other low emission programs. The pricing of emissions and incrementally declining emission limit also nudges these large businesses to actively pursue low emission futures.

Human caused climate change is a profoundly urgent issue. Delaying action compounds the costs. A total of ten states and two Canadian provinces are demonstrating that capping carbon emissions and running a fee based emission permit system significantly reduces emissions, provides capital for greenhouse reducing public investments, with economic performance well above the national average.

Current Public Opinion (see overleaf)

Q6. In 2007 Oregon's legislature adopted greenhouse reduction goals. Oregon agencies report these goals are not being met. With this in mind, would you:

Q6 Would you support or oppose Oregon legislation to enforce existing state greenhouse gas reduction goals -- by adopting policy found to be successful in other states?	N	PERCENT OF TOTAL	AGG PERCENT
Strongly Oppose	66	16.4%	30.3%
Lean toward Oppose	56	13.9%	
Lean toward Support	112	27.9%	64.2%
Strongly Support	146	36.3%	
Undecided ((DO NOT READ): neutral, don't know, need more information, etc)	12	4.3%	4.3%

Q7.1-7.8 Specific components analysis of 3470 omitted for brevity, available on request: info@policyinteractive.org

Q8. If these eight components were applied together to obtain Oregon's 2007 climate stability goals, would you support or oppose it?	N	PERCENT OF TOTAL	AGG.%-
Strongly oppose	66	16.4%	26.8%
Lean toward Oppose	42	10.4%	
Undecided	29	7.2%	7.2%
Lean toward Support	124	30.8%	63.9%
Strongly Support	133	33.1%	
(DO NOT READ): other answer, need more information, don't know	8	2.0%	2.0%

Q9. If revenue were collected from large greenhouse gas emitters, do you think proceeds should be:	N	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Distributed to all tax payers equally.	67	22.1%
Re-invested in projects to lower greenhouse gas emissions like renewable energy, conservation and research	272	67.7%
(DO NOT READ): Some other response	41	10.2%

Oregon Public Opinion on HB3470 concept [DHM](#) random sample telephone 4.16.2014 N=340 |

OREGON weighted to census Response Category	Strong Support	Somewh Support	Somewh Oppose	Strong Oppose	DK
Thinking about global warming, would you support a law in Oregon requiring businesses, that sell or produce greenhouse producing products, to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions?	40%	20%	11%	21%	8%