I tried to be cogent in testimony and in my rationale regarding holding police accountable. I am going to attempt to make things clear yet again with a detailed argument I posted on a blog today. Whether you are a progressive from Eugene or a conservative from Pendleton, your bills and amendment are not going to satisfy those voters. I expect this to be made a record, even if it is not read.



Law Enforcement: No Camera? No Gun! No Baton! No Taser! No Pepper Spray! No Qualified Immunity!

Again, I would prefer this be entered into the records for the above named bills. Your work so far seems to be to an attempt to deny transparency for average citizens who cannot afford legal counsel. It would be hard for an attorney to even properly assess whether the citizen has a case without the record up front. In the end, however, taxpayers are going to pay a high price when officers act outside of their scope of employment without proper supervision and training.

In the end, police are going to be indicted, tried, and found guilty. The tolerance of police violence is no longer tolerated. As a retired correctional officer, I know law enforcement officers who end up in prison are difficult to supervise. They are a threat to safety and security. They are, however, committing crimes for which they can be charged you may not have considered and that is in the blog article I created for the Legislature. I know I will be posting this to the public at every opportunity to act on at the polls and in their confrontations with police for years. It may be better to look at it now, rather than later.

It is amazing to me legislators with degrees in jurisprudence may have written these amendments or will vote for them. I will do my best to be certain the public knows how this affects their daily lives from getting a citation for a traffic violation to reporting a burglary for insurance purposes.

John Lloyd Scharf 4153 Fisher Road, Apt.82 Salem, Oregon 97305-4417

