

Addiction

© Society for the Study of Addiction



Edited By: Editor-in-Chief: Robert West, Associate Editor-in-Chief: Thomas F. Babor

Impact Factor: 4.894

ISI Journal Citation Reports © Ranking: 2013: 1/35 (Substance Abuse (Social Science)); 2/18 (Substance Abuse); 13/124 (Psychiatry (Social Science)); 20/136 (Psychiatry)

Online ISSN: 1360-0443

Associated Title(s): Addiction Biology (/doi/10.1111/(ISSN)1369-1600/home)

Electronic Cigarettes

Electronic cigarettes: getting the science right and communicating it accurately

Electronic cigarettes are being used by millions of people worldwide, mostly in an attempt to reduce smoking or stop altogether. Policy makers, smokers, clinicians and the public in general need accurate information on their safety and potential for reducing smoking rates. Unfortunately in some notable cases the science is being misused, with findings being distorted, misinterpreted or misrepresented. Interestingly, up until now this appears to be mainly (though not exclusively) by those who are opposed to electronic cigarettes. Addiction's goal in this debate is to present evidence as dispassionately as possible whatever it shows, and to correct misinformation where it appears. It is worth highlighting the ways in which science is being misused so that readers can be better placed to evaluate the messages.

Failure to quantify: e.g., statement that e-cigarette vapour contains toxins so creating the impression that they are dangerous as cigarettes, without indicating that the concentrations are typically orders of magnitude less than tobacco smoke.

Failure to account for confounding and reverse causality: e.g., arguing that use of ecigarettes reduces chances of stopping because in cross-sectional surveys the prevalence of e-cigarette use is higher in smokers than in recent ex-smokers.

Selective reporting: e.g., focusing on studies that appear to show harmful effects while ignoring those that do not.

Misrepresentation of outcome measures: e.g., claiming that e-cigarette use is prevalent among youth by using data on the proportion who have ever tried and creating the misleading impression that they are all current e-cigarette users.

Double standards in what is accepted as evidence: e.g., uncritically accepting conclusions from observational studies with major limitations when these claim that electronic cigarettes are causing harm, but discounting similar or better controlled studies when these appear to show the opposite.

Discrediting the source: e.g., arguing that researchers who have received financial support from e-cigarette manufacturers (and even companies that do not manufacture e-cigarettes) are necessarily biased and their results untrustworthy, and presenting themselves as having no conflicts of interest when their professional and moral stance represents a substantial vested interest.

These tactics are not restricted to the e-cigarette debate. We must be vigilant in recognising them to ensure that policies are based on the most accurate interpretation of evidence possible. Addiction will seek to adhere to the highest standards of critical review of papers submitted to us whichever direction the findings on e-cigarettes appear to point.

Robert West Editor-in-Chief, Addiction <u>robert.west@ucl.ac.uk (mailto:robert.west@ucl.ac.uk)</u>

Articles

<u>A critique of a World Health Organization-commissioned report and associated paper on electronic cigarettes (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1111/add.12730/)</u> Ann McNeill, Jean-Francois Etter, Konstantinos Farsalinos, Peter Hajek, Jacques le Houezec and Hayden McRobbie Addiction 2014; 109: 2128

Electronic cigarettes: review of use, content, safety, effects on smokers and potential for harm and benefit (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1111/add.12659/) Peter Hajek, Jean-François Etter, Neal Benowitz, Thomas Eissenberg and Hayden McRobbie Addiction 2014; 109: 1810

Real-world effectiveness of e-cigarettes when used to aid smoking cessation: a crosssectional population study (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1111/add.12623/) Jamie Brown, Emma Beard, Daniel Kotz, Susan Michie and Robert West Addiction 2014; 109: 1531 Levels of saliva cotinine in electronic cigarette users (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1111/add.12475) *Jean-François Etter* Addiction 2014; 109: 825

Nicotine content of electronic cigarettes, its release in vapour and its consistency across batches: regulatory implications (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1111/add.12410/) Maciej L. Goniewicz, Peter Hajek and Hayden McRobbie Addiction 2014; 109: 500

Commentary on Goniewicz et al. (2014): If wisely regulated, electronic cigarettes can make cigarettes obsolete (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1111/add.12473) *Jean-François Etter* Addiction 2014; 109: 508

<u>Analysis of refill liquids for electronic cigarettes</u> (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1111/add.12235/) *Jean-François Etter, Eva Zäther and Sofie Svensson* Addiction 2014; 108: 1671

'Vaping' profiles and preferences: an online survey of electronic cigarette users (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1111/add.12150) *Lynne Dawkins, John Turner, Amanda Roberts and Kirstie Soar* Addiction 2014; 108: 1115

<u>Commentary on Dawkins et al. (2013): The current legislation on nicotine causes millions</u> <u>of deaths—it has to change</u> (<u>http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1111/add.12176/)</u> *Jean-François Etter* Addiction 2014; 108: 1126

<u>Electronic cigarettes: achieving a balanced perspective</u> (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2012.03826.x) *Theodore L. Wagener, Michael Siegel and Belinda Borrelli* Addiction 2014; 107: 1545 (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2012.03826.x)

Commentary on Wagener et al. (2012): E-cigarettes: room for cautious optimism (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2012.03904.x/) *Linda Bauld* Addiction 2014; 107: 1549

<u>Commentary on Wagener et al. (2012): E-cigarettes: a vulnerable promise</u> (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2012.03899.x) *Peter Hajek* Addiction 2014; 107: 1549 <u>Commentary on Wagener et al. (2012): Electronic cigarettes – the Holy Grail of nicotine</u> replacement? (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2012.03909.x/) *Jean-François Etter* Addiction 2014; 107: 1550

Commentary on Wagener et al. (2012): Laissez-faire regulation: turning back the clock on the Food and Drug Administration and public health (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2012.03927.x) Nathan K. Cobb and David B. Abrams Addiction 2014; 107: 1552

Let's keep our 'eye on the ball': reducing tobacco-related harm (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2012.04012.x/) *Theodore L. Wagener, Michael Siegel and Belinda Borrelli* Addiction 2014; 107: 1554

<u>Clinical laboratory assessment of the abuse liability of an electronic cigarette</u> (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2012.03791.x) *Andrea R. Vansickel, Michael F. Weaver and Thomas Eissenberg* Addiction 2014; 107: 1493

The electronic cigarette: what proportion of smokers have tried it and how many use it? (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2012.03916.x/) *Eva Kralikova, Senta Kubatova, Katerine Truneckova, Alexandra Kmetova and Peter Hajek* Addiction 2014; 107: 1528

Electronic cigarette: users profile, utilization, satisfaction and perceived efficacy (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2011.03505.x) *Jean-François Etter and Chris Bullen* Addiction 2014; 106: 2017

Commentary on Etter & Bullen (2011): Could E-cigs become the ultimate nicotine maintenance device? (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2011.03532.x/) Jonathan Foulds and Susan Veldheer Addiction 2014; 106: 2029