# MULTNOMAH COUNTY JUSTICE REINVESTMENT PROGRAM

## HB3194 DATA WORKGROUP

# QUARTERLY REPORT:

# FY15 Q1 & Q2

## JULY-DECEMBER 2014

# Introduction

## What is Justice Reinvestment?

Justice Reinvestment seeks to improve public safety by reducing spending on incarceration in order to reinvest savings in evidence-based strategies that decrease crime.

## What Does the Program Do?

Our program is a collaborative effort to improve the assessment of criminal offenders in Multnomah County and provide them a continuum of community-based services and sanctions. This combination of early assessment and intervention is provided to reduce recidivism while protecting public safety.

***OUR GOALS***

- *Safer Communities*
- *Smarter Spending*
- *Data Informed Decision-making*
- *Sustainable Use of Prison Beds*
- *Enhanced funding for Community Services*

## Who Runs the Program?

Our program is distinctive in the number of agencies that worked together to design and implement this approach to justice reinvestment. Our partners include: Portland Police Bureau, Gresham Police, Fairview Police, Troutdale Police, Sheriff's Office, Department of Community Justice, Oregon Judicial Department, District Attorney's Office, Citizens Crime Commission, Defense Bar, CODA, and the Multnomah County Local Public Safety Coordinating Council (LPSCC).

## How Do I Use This Report?

This report is a quarterly compilation of process and outcome measures designed to monitor the implementation and effectiveness of our program. This offers readers a snapshot of the program as well as a cumulative summary of all activity to date. The units of analysis vary so that some information is reflected in number of court cases, custody bookings, or people. Readers are encouraged to closely pay attention to the descriptive labels that appear throughout the report.

# MCJRP Case Flow

**Date of Last Count:**
**December 31, 2014**



# MCJRP Program Demographics

**Date of Last Count:**
**December 31, 2014**

| N= 494 Unique Defendants | Assessment Group | | Opted Out | | 2013 ACS* | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | # | % | # | % | # | % |
| **Gender** | | | | | | |
| Female | 87 | (20.1%) | 19 | (30.6%) | 387,488 | (50.6%) |
| Male | 345 | (79.9%) | 43 | (69.4%) | 378,647 | (49.4%) |
| **Race** | | | | | | |
| African American | 105 | (24.3%) | 17 | (27.4%) | 42,214 | (5.5%) |
| Asian | 11 | (2.5%) | 0 | (0.0%) | 51,093 | (6.7%) |
| Native American | 2 | (0.5%) | 1 | (1.6%) | 6,674 | (0.9%) |
| White | 259 | (60.0%) | 39 | (62.9%) | 596,984 | (77.9%) |
| Other | 0 | (0.0%) | 0 | (0.0%) | 69,170 | (9.0%) |
| **Hispanic** | | | | | | |
| Not Hispanic | 377 | (87.3%) | 57 | (91.9%) | 681,094 | (88.9%) |
| Hispanic | 55 | (12.7%) | 5 | (8.1%) | 85,041 | (11.1%) |
| **Age** | | | | | | |
| Younger than 18 yoa | 10 | (2.3%) | 1 | (1.6%) | 152,686 | (19.9%) |
| 18 – 24 yoa | 67 | (15.5%) | 13 | (21.0%) | 67,145 | (8.8%) |
| 25 – 34 yoa | 155 | (35.9%) | 24 | (38.7%) | 141,873 | (18.5%) |
| 35 – 44 yoa | 102 | (23.6%) | 10 | (16.1%) | 122,688 | (16.0%) |
| 45 – 54 yoa | 74 | (17.1%) | 11 | (17.7%) | 98,819 | (12.9%) |
| 55 – 64 yoa | 22 | (5.1%) | 2 | (3.2%) | 93,697 | (12.2%) |
| Over 65 yoa | 2 | (0.5%) | 1 | (1.6%) | 89,227 | (11.6%) |
| **RRI** | | | | | | |
| Measures Pending | | | | | | |
| **Total** | **432** | **(100%)** | **62** | **(100%)** | **766,135** | **(100%)** |

**Multnomah County Demographics (2013 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates)*

# Case Eligibility and Opt Outs

**All MCJRP Identified Cases by Primary Charge Category**

| Primary Charge Category | # to Date* Assessment Group | Opted Out |
|---|---|---|
| BM11 Cases | 43 | 3 |
| BM57 – Property Cases | 161 | 20 |
| Other Property Cases | 76 | 8 |
| BM57 –Drug Cases | 24 | 3 |
| Other Drug Cases | 97 | 15 |
| Behavioral Cases | 36 | 9 |
| Person Cases | 13 | 3 |
| Vehicle Cases | 8 | 3 |
| **Grand Total** | **458** | **64** |

**Unless otherwise noted in this report, 'to date' represents the time period of July 1 – December 31, 2014*




**Cases eligibility date coincides with case arraignment on indictment date and 'opt out' cases excludes cases that have opted back in*

| Opt Out Reason by Case | Timeline | Total to Date |
|---|---|---|
| **Opt Out** | **By Day 28** | **63** |
| Notice Filed | | 22 |
| Verbal | | 28 |
| Refusal | | 9 |
| **Late Opt Out** | **After Day 28** | **7** |
| **Total Opt Outs Recorded** | | **70** |
| ***Cases Opting Back In*** | | ***2*** |
| **Total Opt Out Cases** | | **68** |

***Notice Filed****: Notice of Intent to Opt Out filed with the Court, Opt Outs filed after Day 28 are considered to be late opt outs*

***Verbal:*** *Defendant pleas prior to completion of interview or defense team verbally declines MCJRP*

***Refusal:*** *Defendant refused to be assessed or refused to answer enough questions for a valid LSCMI at interview, no LSCMI completed prior to day 21*

# Assessments

| | Completed To Date |
|---|---|
| LSCMI Interviews | 321 |
| In-custody Interviews Facilitated by MCSO HB3194 Escort Deputies | 211 |
| Assessment Reports Completed | 258 |









# Custody

| Booking and Custody Information[1] | # To Date |
|---|---|
| ***Individuals booked*** | **454** |
| ***Bookings***[2] | **530** |
| ***Releases*** | **355** |
| ***Jail Bed Days*** | **21,851** |
| *Detention Center (MCDC)* | 4,602 |
| *Inverness Jail (MCIJ)* | 17,249 |

[1] *Booking and Custody Information includes both pre-trial and post-sentencing bookings and custodies for individual identified as eligible for MCJRP.*

[2] *Individuals may be booked multiple times*



# Court Events




**Direct Present cases do not have information arraignments*

***This represents the number of Initial Judicial Settlement Conferences that were scheduled on the court calendar by the Court during the listed time period*

| Warrant Status and Reason | Total to Date |
|---|---|
| Cases Entered Warrant Status | 65 |
| Cases in Warrant Status on 12/31/14 | 44 |




**Opt Out cases and cases in warrant status are not included in the timeline calculations*

# Case Disposition

| Case Disposition | To date | |
|---|---|---|
| | Assessment Used | Opted Out |
| **Convictions** | **126** | **32** |
| *Plea* | *125* | *31* |
| *Trial* | *1* | *1* |
| **Dismissed** | **2** | **0** |
| **Not Guilty** | **1** | **0** |
| *Trial* | *1* | *0* |
| **Total Cases** | **129** | **32** |

*Case count of cases with the a disposition date as of December 31, 2014*

*Cases presented in this chart with the disposition reason of 'Dismissed' were dismissed at sentencing pursuant to plea agreement. Cases dismissed prior to sentencing are considered 'No Longer Eligible' for MCJRP and are not included in the analyses presented in this report.*



# Sentencing




**Initial Sentence Length by Sentence Type (to date)**

| Initial Sentence Type | Assessment Used | | | Opted Out | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | # Sentenced | Average (months) | Sum (months) | # Sentenced | Average (months) | Sum (months) |
| **Imprisonment** | **26** | **26.9** | **700.2** | **10** | **34.5** | **345.2** |
| Prison (DOC) | 25 | 27.5 | 688.2 | 10 | 34.5 | 345.2 |
| Local Control (Jail) | 1 | 12.0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Probation** | **92** | **36.3** | **3,336** | **22** | **34.4** | **756** |
| Bench Probation | 1 | 36.0 | 36 | 1 | 24.0 | 24 |
| Standard Probation | 19 | 30.6 | 582 | 14 | 35.1 | 492 |
| START Court | 6 | 34.0 | 204 | 7 | 34.3 | 240 |
| MCJRP Intensive Probation | 66 | 38.7 | 2,514 | | | |
| **Total** | **118** | | | **32** | | |

**Sum of Potential Prison Months Diverted by Initial Sentence***

| Initial Sentence Type | to Date (months) | |
|---|---|---|
| | Assessment Used | Opted Out |
| **Prison Months Avoided** | **2,738-2,857** | **464-486** |
| Local Control (Jail) | 19-24 | |
| Bench Probation | 16-18 | 18-18 |
| Standard Probation | 447-475 | 286-308 |
| START Court | 109-119 | 160-160 |
| MCJRP Intensive Probation | 2,147-2,221 | |

**Sum of Potential Prison Months Diverted by Initial Sentence is based on the top two convicted charges with the highest crime severity*

*Sentencing information in these charts are presented at the case level. Individuals may have multiple MCJRP cases that are sentenced. One 'Opt Out' individual received two concurrent prison sentences on MCJRP eligible cases; the sentencing information for these cases are presented separately.*

# Offender Accountability

- ***Of the 458 assessment group cases, 225 cases (49.1%) had a victim associated.***
- ***Of the 225 cases with a victim associated, 44 (19.6%) had a victims advocate assigned.***
- ***Of the 225 cases with a victim associated 100% were restitution eligible.***
- ***Of the restitution eligible cases 100% had a restitution clerk assigned.***

**Sentenced Cases with Restitution Ordered to Date**

| Charge Category | Assessment Group | | Opt Out Group | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Cases Convicted and Sentenced | Cases with Restitution Ordered | Cases Convicted and Sentenced | Cases with Restitution Ordered |
| BM11 | 14 | **5 (35.7%)** | 1 | **0 (0%)** |
| BM57 - Property Offender | 44 | **22 (50%)** | 8 | **6 (75%)** |
| Other Property | 10 | **3 (30%)** | 2 | **1 (50%)** |
| Behavioral | 4 | **4 (100%)** | | |
| Person | 2 | **1 (50%)** | 1 | **0 (0%)** |
| Vehicle | 1 | **0 (0%)** | | |
| **Grand Total** | **75 (86.2%)** | **35 (46.7%)** | **12 (13.8%)** | **7 (58.3%)** |

**Sum of Total Restitution Ordered to Date**

| Charge_Category | Assessment Group | Opt Out Group | Grand Total |
|---|---|---|---|
| BM11 | $7,369.43 | | $7,369.43 |
| BM57 - Property Offender | $144,068.93 | $7,245.47 | $151,314.40 |
| Other Property | $2,284.40 | $121.00 | $2,405.40 |
| Behavioral | $2,739.41 | | $2,739.41 |
| Person | $305.14 | | $305.14 |
| **Grand Total** | **$156,767.31** | **$7,366.47** | **$164,133.78** |

# Intensive Probation Services: Part 1







| Jail Usage Post Sentence Date | To Date |
|---|---|
| ***Number of Jail Bed Days*** | 614 |
| ***MCJRP Probationers with Post Sentence Custody*** | 46 |
| ***Count of Post Sentence Custodies*** | 58 |
| ***Average LOS (per custody)*** | 7.6 |
| ***Number of clients currently in Jail (as of December 31, 2014)*** | 10 |

**LOS is calculated post release from custody. The custody days of clients still in jail as of 01/01/2015 are not included in this calculation.*

# Intensive Probation Services: Part 2

| Variables | All Offenders on MCJRP Supervision To-Date (N=66) |
|---|---|
| ***Risk/Need Level**** | 79% High / Very High<br>14% Medium<br>8% Low / Very Low |
| ***Criminal Justice History*** | 2.6 is avg # of previous felony convictions |
| ***Transportation*** | 29% with transportation factors |
| ***Language*** | 5% with language barriers |
| ***Physical Health*** | 15% with physical health factors |

| *Client Matching* | Offenders with Identified Need Areas | Programming Referrals/Admissions |
|---|---|---|
| ***Housing*** | 28 reported housing needs | 23** |
| ***Alcohol / Drug & Addictions*** | 44 High / Very High Risk/Need * | 67 |
| ***Mental Health Services*** | 21 with mental health factors | 0*** |
| ***Mentor*** | 46 High/Very High Risk Companions* | 22** |
| ***Veterans*** | 1 Veteran | 0 |
| ***Parenting Services*** | 33 with children under 18 yoa | 1** |
| ***Employment Services/ Education Services*** | 31 High / Very High Risk/Need* | 5 |
| ***GPS/EM*** | 27 High/Very High Criminal History* | 15 |
| ***Criminal Thinking*** | 32 High/Very High Pro-criminal Attitudes or Anti-Social Patterns* | 7 |

** Derived from the LS/CMI assessment. This instrument was designed to identify dynamic areas of risk/needs that may be addressed by programming in order to reduce criminal risk behaviors.*

*** These categories are tracking admissions, not referrals.*

****Mental health services are also accessed through dual diagnosis AOD treatment programming*

# Law Enforcement

| Justice Reinvestment Detail | # To Date[1] |
|---|---|
| *Days with Dedicated JR Detail Shifts* | 41 |
| *Phone Calls Received from POs* | 23 |
| *Arrest Attempts*[2] | 119 |
| *Arrests Made* | 21 |
| *Conversational or Probable Cause Stop*[3] | 93 |
| *Proactive Community Policing Patrols* | 18 |
| *PO Assists* | 5 |
| *Other*[4] | 4 |

[1] *For the justice reinvestment detail measures, the 'To Date' totals represent the time period of when Justice Reinvestment Detail began (November 1, 2014) through the end of the current month (December 31, 2014). 'To Date' values in other sections represent a time period beginning on July 1, 2014 when MCJRP officially started.*
[2] *Arrest attempts include attempted warrant service and attempted service of PO detainers*
[3] *Usually mere conversations or suspected criminal activity*
[4] *For example, building secured, recovered stolen vehicle, etc.*

| All MCJRP Contacts | # To Date |
|---|---|
| ***Individuals Contacted*** | **46** |
| ***Number of Cases*** | **74** |
| ***Contact Type*[5] *(Categories will be developed based on actual contacts)*** | |
| *Witness* | 0 |
| *Victim* | 13 |
| *Associate/Mentioned* | 8 |
| *Suspect/Subject/Person of Interest* | 33 |
| *Exclusion area* | 1 |
| *Traffic* | 0 |
| *Charged* | 44 |
| *Other* | 1 |

[5] *Multiple contact types may take place within a single case.*



# MCJRP Outcomes
# TBD

## Revocations

*MEASURES PENDING*

## Prison Bed Utilization

*MEASURES PENDING*

## Recidivism

*MEASURES PENDING*

# Acknowledgements

This report was developed by the Multnomah County Justice Reinvestment Data and Evaluation Sub-Committee. This committee is a collaborative effort of trained data analysts representing the agencies who helped develop and implement this justice reinvestment program.

Committee Leads:

- Kimberly Bernard, Ph.D.; Department of Community Justice
- Lauren Brown; Local Public Safety Coordinating Council

Committee Members:

- Joel Bruhn; Multnomah County Circuit Court
- Robert Jackson; Portland Police Bureau
- Maegan Kingston; Defense Bar
- Shea Marshman, Ph.D.; Multnomah County Sheriff's Office
- Lily Yamamoto; Local Public Safety Coordinating Council
- Carol Young; District Attorney's Office

Committee Consultants:

- Roger Przybylski, USDOJ Bureau of Justice Assistance/NTTAC Consultant
- Sgt. Greg Stewart; Portland Police Bureau
- Katharina Wiest, Ph.D.; CODA