Summary of Select Vaping Bills

Bill Number	Sponsor & Committee	Issues	Relating to
SB 190	AG Rosenblum In Senate Judiciary (had hearing on 3/9)	 Prohibits internet sales of cigarette, tobacco products and electronic cigarettes Defines <u>electronic cigarette</u> (covers device, components and substance to be vaporized); Substance covers those to deliver nicotine 	Nicotine
HB 2134, HB 2074	House Rev. Committee; In House Rev Committee; hearings scheduled for 4/6	 Defines <u>vapor products</u> (covers any vapor device and nicotine solutions); Adds "vapor products" to definition of "tobacco products" in ORS 323.500 Definitional addition in effect subjects vapor products to 65% wholesale tax under ORS 323.505(2)(c) (other tobacco); Definitional addition in effect subjects tax distributions to ORS 323.625 (Gen. Fund, OR Health Plan, TURA Account). Compare cigarette tax distribution under ORS 323.455 to .457 (includes DOT, local government and mental health). 	Taxation of products intended for nicotine consumption
HB 3053	Rep. Clem In House Rev. Comm.	 Increases taxes on all cigarettes and other tobacco products; Defines <i>vapor products</i> (covers any vapor device and nicotine solutions); Adds "vapor products" to definition of "tobacco products" in ORS 323.500 Definitional addition in effect subjects vapor products to wholesale tax under ORS 323.505(2)(c) with tax distributions under ORS 323.625 (compare cigarette tax distribution under ORS 323.455 to .457) Present law is tax of 65% of wholesale on other tobacco products, but bill taxes other tobacco at 81.25% of wholesale. 	Taxation of products containing nicotine

HB 2546-A	Many Members Has passed House; in Senate Health Care Committee presently (hearing was held on 3/23)	 Defines inhalant delivery system (covers device, components, substance to be vaporized); Substance covers those to deliver nicotine or cannabinoids; Regulates vaping: Makes it unlawful to sell inhalants to persons under 18; Regulates packaging (not to be attractive to minors); Provides for FDA exceptions; OHA civil fines for regulation violations; Adds selling/distributing inhalant delivery systems to endangering welfare of minor criminal statutes; Adds minor possession of inhalant delivery system to criminal statute Criminalizes vending machine distribution of inhalant delivery systems and accessibility of them in retail stores; Prohibits using inhalant delivery system in vehicle with minor; Adds inhalants to Oregon's Indoor Clean 	

See also pending Washington bills—HB 2211 (60% plus sales tax) and HB 1645 (95% plus sales tax)

LOC, 4.6.15 WJJ



EXCISE TAXES

Taxes on Cigarettes and Other Tobacco Products

Excise taxes are imposed on distribution of all tobacco products in Oregon. Taxes are levied on each

cigarette and as a percent of wholesale price of other tobacco products. As of January 1, 2015 the tax rate on cigarettes is 65.5 mills per cigarette or \$1.31 per pack of 20 cigarettes. Under current law the tax is scheduled to increase to \$1.32 beginning January 1, 2016 and to \$1.33 beginning January 1, 2018. The distribution of tax revenue per pack of cigarettes is shown at right. Note that the numbers do not sum to \$1.31 due to rounding and TURA refers to the Tobacco Use Reduction Account. Distributions to cities and counties are based on their respective populations.

The Other Tobacco Products (OTP) tax is applied slightly differently depending upon the product. Moist snuff is taxed at \$1.78 per ounce with a minimum tax of \$2.14 per retail container.¹ Cigars are taxed at 65% of their wholesale sales price but the tax is capped at \$0.50 per individual cigar. All other tobacco products not taxed as moist snuff or cigars are

taxed at 65% of their wholesale sales price. Distributions of revenues from the Other Tobacco Products tax are displayed in the table to the right.

Additional tobacco revenue is received under the Master Settlement Agreement. Through June 30, 2014, in total Oregon has received over \$1.2 billion in payments and expects to receive about \$165 million for the 2013-15 biennium. The 2003 Session (SB 856) pledged much of this revenue for payments on \$450 million appropriation bonds. In August 2013, payment obligations were met. Cigarette Tax Distribution as of 1/1/2015 DOT Elderly Trans: Counties: S0.02 Cities: S0.02 Cities: S0.02 Cities: S0.02 Cities: S0.02 Cities: S0.02 Cities: S0.02 S0.03 S0.87 Note: Numbers do not add up to \$1.31 due to rounding

See ORS 323,455

to ,457

Distribution of Other Tobacco Products Tax (1/1/2015)					
53.84%	_				
41.54%	A				
4.62%	7				
	ax (1/1/2015) 53.84% 41.54%				

C	igarette	and Othe	r Tobacc	o Product	s Taxes	(\$ Millions	3)
Fiscal	Cigarette	Ciga	rettes	Other ⁻	Tobacco	To	otal
Year	Tax Rate	Revenue	Change	Revenue	Change	Revenue	Change
2003-04	\$1.23	240.1		25.3		265.4	
2004-05	\$1.18	217.8	-9.3%	26.0	2.8%	243.8	-8.1%
2005-06	\$1.18	238.7	9.6%	31.2	20.0%	269.9	10.7%
2006-07	\$1.18	240.2	0.6%	30.9	-0.8%	271.1	0.4%
2007-08	\$1.18	222.2	-7.5%	32.8	5.9%	255.0	-6.0%
2008-09	\$1.18	215.3	-3.1%	32.9	0.4%	248.2	-2.6%
2009-10	\$1.18	201.9	-6.3%	37.4	13.7%	239.3	-3.6%
2010-11	\$1.18	211.6	4.8%	51.2	36.8%	262.8	9.8%
2011-12	\$1.18	203.5	-3.9%	52.2	2.0%	255.7	-2.7%
2012-13	\$1.18	198.5	-2.4%	56.7	8.7%	255.2	-0.2%
2013-14	\$1.31	201.2	1.3%	56.4	-0.6%	257.6	0.9%

Combined Distribution of Tobacco Taxes (\$ Millions)									
Fiscal	General	Health	Mental	TURA	Special	Loca			
Year	Fund	Plan	Health		Transit	Govt's			
2003-04	53.9	184.8		7.8	4.4	8.8			
2004-05	52.5	168.5		7.3	4.3	8.6			
2005-06	59.4	180.7		8.1	4.1	8.9			
2006-07	60.6	185.3		8.3	4.6	9.2			
2007-08	59.0	176.1		8.0	4.6	9.2			
2008-09	57.1	168.5		7.7	4.1	8.3			
2009-10	57.4	161.9		7.4	3.5	7.8			
2010-11	66.3	173.2		7.9	4.0	8.1			
2011-12	66.1	170.8		7.7	3.9	7.9			
2012-13	67.5	168.0		8.4	3.8	7.7			
2013-14	67.0	163.6	7.7	8.3	3.7	7.4			

Page Sources: Oregon Department of Revenue, DAS CFO, DAS Quarterly Economic & Revenue Forecast

¹ Under current law, moist snuff rates are scheduled to be indexed to inflation for reporting periods beginning on or after July 1, 2022. Rates are indexed to one quarter of the change in U.S. City Average Consumer Price Index.

2015 State Shared Revenue Estimates

Sased on the 2013-15 legislatively-enacted state budget, cities are projected to receive the fol-

	Liquor Tax Revenues ¹	Cigarette Tax Revenues	9-1-1 Tax Revenues ²	Highway Fund ³ (Gas Tax)
2014-15	\$14.44	\$1.25	N/A	\$56.74
2015-16	\$16.11	\$1.17	N/A	\$57.66
2016-17	\$16.57	\$1.08	N/A	\$57.81

1 See description of liquor revenue in the full online report. Per capita liquor revenue projections include Liquor Tax (20%) but not State Shared Revenues, which are derived from separate liquor taxes (14%).

2 9-1-1 emergency communications tax distributions are made directly to 9-1-1 jurisdictions and no longer "pass through" cities. See 9-1-1 Tax Revenues section in the full online report.

3 Highway Fund revenue distribution contains several component parts. Please see the section entitled "Highway Fund Revenue" in the full online report for more detail.

" Updated 02/03/15 "

Projections for distributions to cities are developed and revised periodically by various state agencies throughout the year. Source documents for the projections are available from the appropriate state agency.

Per capita distribution for appropriate revenue sources are calculated based on certified statistics from Portland State University's Center for Population Research. Population estimates compiled each July are certified approximately January 1 of the following year and thereafter begin to govern the distributions.

Revenue projections are based on current law and do not contemplate changes to current statutory distribution formulas or policy changes that may be enacted by the Legislature.

A program synopsis and revenue history for each shared revenue source follows.

(continued on page 2)

For questions regarding these estimates, email Charlie Conrad at cconrad@orcities.org or call (503) 588-6550.

2015 City Population

Adair Village	845	Dunes City	1,315	Klamath Falls	21,500	Portland	601,510	Vemonia	2,065
Adams	370	Durham	1,880	La Grande	13,150	Powers	700	Waldport	2,060
Adrian	180	Eagle Point	8,635	La Pine	1,670	Prairie City	910	Wallowa	810
Albany	51,270	Echo	705	Lafayette	3,825	Prescott	55	Warrenton	5,175
Amity	1,620	Elgin	1,725	Lake Oswego	37,105	Prineville	9,385	Wasco	415
Antelope	50	Elkton	205	Lakeside	1,705	Rainier	1,905	Waterloo	230
Arlington	605	Enterprise	1,940	Lakeview	2,300	Redmond	26,770	West Linn	25,540
Ashland	20,340	Estacada	2,935	Lebanon	15,740	Reedsport	4,150	Westfir	255
Astoria	9,590	Eugene	160,775	Lexington	255	Richland	175	Weston	685
Athena	1,125	Fairview	8,935	Lincoln City	8,400	Riddle	1,185	Wheeler	405
Aumsville	3,895	Falls City	950	Lonerock	20	Rivergrove	485	Willamina	2,045
Aurora	950	Florence	8,565	Long Creek	195	Rockaway Beach	1,325	Wilsonville	21,980
Baker City	9,890	Forest Grove	22,715	Lostine	215	Rogue River	2,155	Winston	5,410
Bandon	3,105	Fossil	475	Lowell	1,060	Roseburg	22,510	Wood Village	3,905
Banks	1,775	Garibaldi	790	Lyons	1,160	Rufus	280	Woodburn	24,455
Barlow	135	Gaston	640	Madras	6,260	Salem	159,265	Yachats	720
Bay City	1,320	Gates	485	Malin	815	Sandy	10,170	Yamhill	1,050
Beaverton	93,395	Gearhart	1,475	Manzanita	615	Scappoose	6,700	Yoncalla	1,060
Bend	79,985	Gervais	2,530	Maupin	425	Scio	830		
Boardman	3,445	Gladstone	11,495	Maywood Park	750	Scotts Mills	365		
Bonanza	455	Glendale	875	McMinnville	32,705	Seaside	6,560		
Brookings	6,535	Gold Beach	2,275	Medford	76,650	Seneca	200		
Brownsville	1,680	Gold Hill	1,220	Merril	840	Shady Cove	3,015		
Bums	2,835	Granite	40	Metolius	700	Shaniko	35		
Butte Falls	430	Grants Pass	35,060	Mill City	1,875	Sheridan	6,225		
Canby	16,010	Grass Valley	165	Millersburg	1,505	Sherwood	18,955		
Cannon Beach	1,705	Greenhom	2	Milton-Freewater	7,060	Siletz	1,235		
Canyon City	705	Gresham	106,455	Milwaukie	20,485	Silverton	9,460		
Canyonville	1,910	Haines	415	Mitchell	130	Sisters	2,190		
Carlton	2,070	Halfway	290	Molalla	8,820	Sodaville	310		
Cascade Locks	1,235	Halsey	915	Monmouth	9,620	Spray	160		
Cave Junction	1,905	Happy Valley	16,480	Monroe	620	Springfield	60,065		
Central Point	17,375	Harrisburg	3,635	Monument	130	St Helens	12,990		
Chiloquin	735	Helix	195	Moro	325	St Paul	425		
Clatskanie	1,750	Heppner	1,290	Mosier	440	Stanfield	2,115		
Coburg	1,045	Hermiston	17,345	Mt Angel	3,395	Stayton	7,700		
Columbia City	1,945	Hillsboro	95,310	Mt Vernon	525	Sublimity	2,760		-
Condon	695	Hines	1,560	Myrtle Creek	3,465	Summerville	135	-	
Coos Bay	16,315	Hood River	7,545	Myrtle Point	2,525	Sumpter	205	Portian	d State
Coquille	3,870	Hubbard	3,220	Nehalem	280	Sutherlin	7,945	/ Popul	ation
Cornelius	11,910	Huntington	445	Newberg	22,765	Sweet Home	9,060	Cert	
Corvallis	56,535	Idanha	140	Newport	10,095	Talent	6,230	Cen	
Cottage Grove	9,840	Imbler	305	North Bend	9,730	Tangent	1,195	July,	
Cove	550	Independence	8,605	North Plains	2,015	The Dalles	14,480	, our,	
Creswell	5,075	lone	330	North Powder	445	Tigard	49,140		
Culver	1,380	Imgon	1,885	Nyssa	3,285	Tillamook	4,880		
Dallas	14,940	Island City	1,025	Oakland	935	Toledo	3,485		
Damascus	10,625	Jacksonville	2,840	Oakridge	3,220	Troutdale	16,020		
Dayton	2,570	Jefferson	3,165	Ontario	11,465	Tualatin	26,925		
Dayville	150	John Day	1,745	Oregon City	33,760	Tumer	1,900		
Depoe Bay	1,410	Johnson City	565	Paisley Dendleten	245	Ukiah Umotilla	245		
Detroit	210	Jordan Valley	175	Pendleton	16,700	Umatilla	7,050		
Donald	975	Joseph	1,095	Philomath	4,630	Union	2,150		
Drain Dufu	1,160	Junction City	5,620	Phoenix Bilot Book	4,580	Unity	70 1,875		
Dufur Dundoo	605 3 190	Keizer King City	36,985 3,365	Pilot Rock Port Orford	1,505 1,135	Vale Veneta	1,875 4,690		
Dundee	3,180	King City	3,303	Port Orford	1,130	VEIICLA	4,030		

Cigarette Tax Revenue

The statutory authorization for distribution of cigarette revenue to cities is in ORS 323.455. Between 1928 and its eventual approval in 1967, Oregon's cigarette tax was rejected by voters six times. The deciding factor, according to media accounts, was that the tax proceeds were aimed both directly and indirectly at property tax relief. When it passed, the tax was \$0.04 per pack, with 50 percent of the tax designated for property tax relief and 50 percent distributed to cities and counties. Since then, the amount of tax on cigarettes has increased but cities' proportionate share of the tax revenue has shrunk, from 33 percent to just 1.7 percent of the total tax (a second penny was added for cities in 1986).

Generally, the tax is paid through the use of tax stamps that are purchased by Oregon licensed cigarette distributors. The money received by the Department of Revenue is paid to the state treasurer. It is then distributed to the Oregon Health Plan; the state General Fund; cities and counties; the Tobacco Usage Reduction Account; and the Elderly and Disabled Special Transportation Fund.

Prior to January 1, 2014 the cigarette tax per pack totaled \$1.18 with the following distribution: \$0.8574 to the health plan, \$0.22 to the state general fund, \$0.0342 to tobacco use reduction and \$0.0228 each to cities, counties and public transit. Following the passage of HB 3601 during the 2013 Special Session and beginning January 1, 2014, taxes per pack were raised \$0.13 to a total of \$1.31 per pack. Beginning January 1, 2016 taxes will increase an additional \$0.01 for a total of \$1.32 per pack with a further \$0.01 increase on January 1, 2018, for a total of \$1.33 per pack.

The distribution of the \$0.13 increase beginning in 2014 is split \$0.10 to mental health, \$0.013 to the state general fund, \$0.002 to tobacco use reduction and \$0.016 to the health plan. Beginning January 1, 2016 the full tax increase of \$0.14 per pack (relative to pre-2014 tax rates) is dedicated to mental health. The full \$0.15 post January 1, 2018 is likewise dedicated to mental health. Cities will receive no additional proceeds from the increase in tax. (Source: Department of Administrative Services, Office of Economic Analysis, Revenue Forecast Appendix B.)

Revenues are paid to cities on a monthly basis within 35 days after the end of the month for which a distribution is made. The distribution is on a per capita basis. Cities may use their share for general government purposes, without program restrictions on their use.

(continued on next page)

The 10-year history of city disbursements is as follows:

Year	Disbursement	Year	Disbursement
2004-05	\$4,174,998	2009-10	\$3,889,726
2005-06	\$4,595,568	2010-11	\$4,022,667
2006-07	\$4,610,003	2011-12	\$3,911,667
2007-08	\$4,252,081	2012-13	\$3,822,638
2008-09	\$4,133,710	2013-14	\$3,642,107
			4

Actual Cigarette Tax Disbursements: All Cities

After a decade of constant decline, cigarette tax revenues are expected to rise slightly before settling back into decline.

Projected Cigarette Tax Disbursements: All Cities

Year	Projected Disbursement
2014-15	\$3,470,333
2015-16	\$3,290,666
2016-17	\$3,079,333



	TABLE	7			
SUMM	ARY OF OREGON T	AXES		% CHA	NGE
STATE	2003-2004 COLLECTIONS CO		2013-2014 COLLECTIONS	2013 TO 2014 % CHG	10 YEAR 2004 TO 2014
PERSONAL INCOME TAX	\$4,268,572,769	\$6,258,703,426	\$6,649,032,232	6.2%	55.8%
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE TAXES	651,920,000	1,011,950,501	1,048,171,404	3.6%	60.8%
GASOLINE AND USE FUEL TAXES	401,968,000	486,134,061	492,657,207	1.3%	22.6%
CORPORATE INCOME TAX	317,506,034	452,888,190	494,759,369	9.2%	55.8%
WEIGHT MILE TAX	217,370,000	284,016,386	301,225,523	6.1%	38.6%
CIGARETTE TAX	240,069,022	198,548,451	202,084,629	1.8%	-15.8%
INSURANCE TAXES	51,732,659	53,066,995	30,457,147	-42.6%	-41.1%
OTHER LABOR TAXES	87,596,364	72,102,306	87,336,237	21.1%	-0.3%
TIMBER SEVERANCE TAXES	3,787,065	531,848	607,996	14.3%	-83.9%
ESTATE (INHERITANCE) TAX	73,609,092	101,862,939	85,491,021	-16.1%	16.1%
WORKERS' COMP INSURANCE TAXES	58,192,322	49,712,523	52,759,088	6.1%	-9.3%
TELEPHONE EXCHANGE ACCESS TAX/911	33,255,970	39,175,232	39,251,361	0.2%	18.0%
OTHER TOBACCO PRODUCTS TAX	25,278,884	56,690,359	56,370,715	-0.6%	123.0%
REAL ESTATE RECORDING TAX	21,925,857	35,696,662	33,003,807	-7.5%	50.5%
BEER & WINE TAXES	13,665,748	16,419,394	17,462,776	6.4%	27.8%
FOREST PRODUCTS HARVEST TAXES	11,940,279	14,148,783	14,922,588	5.5%	25.0%
ELECTRIC COOP TAX	4,555,367	8,569,627	7,242,866	-15.5%	59.0%
PHONE ACCESS SURCHARGE	5,377,192	6,296,987	5,991,833	-4.8%	11.4%
AMUSEMENT DEVICE TAX	798,200	1,924,133	1,894,638	-1.5%	137.4%
HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE TAXES	2,565,657	2,510,906	2,860,572	13.9%	11.5%
AVIATION GAS AND JET FUEL TAXES	2,379,798	1,997,135	1,965,638	-1.6%	-17.4%
PETROLEUM LOADING FEE	1,267,559	1,133,013	1,539,088	35.8%	21.4%
BOXING TAX	87,915	59,023	48,570	-17.7%	-44.8%
PRIVATE RAIL CAR TAX	189,168	258,058	260,236	0.8%	37.6%
OIL & GAS SEVERANCE TAX	109,322	64,227	102,233	59.2%	-6.5%
DRY CLEANERS TAX	117,593	0	0	0.0%	-100.0%
STATE LODGING TAX	1,457,243	12,793,208	13,924,746	8.8%	855.6%
MEDICAL PROVIDER/HOSPITALTAXES		428,998,782	454,410,947	6%	NA
LOCAL TAXES	2003-04	2012-13**	2013-14**	2013 to	2004 to
	COLLECTIONS	COLLECTIONS	COLLECTIONS	2014 % chg	2014 % chg
PROPERTY TAXES*	3,612,927,649	5,174,628,651	5,462,022,916	5.6%	51.2%
TRANSIT PAYROLL & EMPLOYMENT TAXES	173,563,613	278,925,838	298,207,898	6.9%	71.8%
FRANCHISE TAXES	139,656,000	227,041,560	230,274,180	1.4%	64.9%
HOTEL-MOTEL	65,600,000	107,000,000	121,338,000	13.4%	85.0%
PORTLAND BUSINESS LICENSE TAX	45,364,373	78,214,550	81,020,110	3.6%	78.6%
					104.1%
MULTNOMAH COUNTY BUSINESS TAX MOTOR VEHICLE RENTAL TAX	30,286,000 12,930,000	58,750,000 24,764,000	61,800,000 27,435,000	5.2% 10.8%	112.2%
		24,764,000			
MULTNOM. COUNTY INCOME TAX	100,144,000	76,000	34,000	-55.3%	-100.0%
MOTOR FUEL TAXES	12,926,000	15,602,172	15,528,628	-0.5%	20.1%
WASHINGTON COUNTY TRANSFER TAX	4,057,444	3,409,272	3,858,507	13.2%	-4.9% 275.7%
	169,728,290	625,247,685		2.0%	

** Estimates where actuals are not available * includes tax imposed and urban renewal revenue



The Oregon Legislature first imposed an excise tax on cigarettes at 4ϕ per pack effective July 1, 1966.

Revenue was distributed to the counties to reduce property taxes (50%) and to cities and counties (25% each).

The Legislature increased the permanent rate to 9ϕ in 1971, to 27ϕ in 1985, and to 28ϕ in 1989.

Ballot Measure 44 in 1996 (initiative petition) increased the permanent rate to 58¢.

Measure 20 in 2002 increased the permanent rate to \$1.18.

A temporary rate of 7¢ was passed by the 1981 & 1982 Special Sessions and allowed to sunset.

A temporary rate of 10ϕ was passed in 1993 with a July 1, 1995 sunset. The sunset has been extended each Session until January 1, 2004. The renewal of the 10ϕ temporary rate was part of Measure 30 that failed to pass in 2004; therefore it is no longer effective.



Because OTP not the same distribution as cigarettes, the total Tobacco Revenue becomes different in total to be distributed to the different funds. Higher OHP, and GF. Lower Local and Transit.

Local Taxes by Source

(Percent of Local Government Tax Collections in Fiscal Year 2011-12)



Evaluation of Local Tax System

- Strengths
 - Stability
 - Voter Acceptance
- Weaknesses
 - Rigidity
 - Not Responsive to Economic Growth
 - Horizontal Inequities
 - Lack of Diversity





CIGARETTE EXCISE TAX RATES AS OF JANUARY 1, 2015 BEER AND WINE RATES AS OF 2014 Ranked by Sum of Excise and Sales Tax Rates (Dollars)

	Malt Liquor (Beer) per Gallon			Table Wine (14% alcohol) per Gallon			Cigarette Taxes (\$'s per Pack)		
nk	State	Excise	Sales	State	Excise	Sales	State	Excise	Sales
	Tennessee	1.150	0.700	Florida	2.25	2.40	New York	4.35	0.40
	South Carolina	0.770	0.600	Rhode Island	1.40	2.80	Rhode Island	3.50	0.58
	Hawaii	0.930	0.400	lowa	1.75	2.40	Massachusetts	3.51	0.54
	Mississippi	0.427	0.700	Tennessee	1.21	2.80	Connecticut	3.40	0.52
	North Carolina	0.617	0.475	Illinois	1.39	2.50	Hawaii	3.20	0.35
	Florida	0.480	0.600	New Mexico	1.70	2.00	Washington	3.03	0.50 🍝
	Alaska	1.070	0.000	New Jersey	0.88	2.80	New Jersey	2.70	0.52
	Callfornia	0.200	0.825	Virginia	1.51	2.00	Vermont	2.75	0.46
I	Alabama	0.530	0.400	California	0.20	3.30	Minnesota	2.90	0.00
כ	Washington	0.261	0.650	Washington	0.87	2.60	Wisconsin	2.52	0.37
1	New Mexico	0.410	0.500	Nevada	0.70	2.74	Dist. of Columbia	2.50	0.00
2	Utah	0.410	0.470	West Virginia	1.00	2.40	Illinois	1.98	0.44
3	Nebraska	0.310	0.550	Alabama	1.70	1.60	Michigan	2.00	0.39
4	Illinois	0.231	0.625	South Carolina	0.90	2.40	Maryland	2.00	0.38
5	Maine	0.350	0.500	Indiana	0.47	2.80	Arizona	2.00	0.37
5	Oklahoma	0.400	0.450	Mississippi	0.35	2.80	Maine	2.00	0.36
7	Nevada	0.400	0.685	Nebraska	0.95	2.20	Utah	1.70	0.37
, B	Connecticut	0.240	0.600	Arkansas	0.75	2.40	Alaska	2.00	0.00
9	Minnesota	0.150	0.688	Connecticut	0.72	2.40	New Mexico	1.66	0.32
5	Arkansas	0.230	0.600	Georgia	1.51	1.60	Pennsylvania	1.60	0.36
1	Texas	0.200	0.625	Arizona	0.84	2.24	New Hampshire	1.78	0.00
2	New Jersey	0.120	0.700	Minnesota	0.30	2.75	Texas	1.41	0.36
2 3	Indiana	0.120	0.700	Hawali	1.38	1.60	South Dakota	1.53	0.23
		0.115	0.700	Vermont	0.55	2.40	lowa	1.36	0.35
4	Rhode Island	0.200	0.600	Michigan	0.55	2.40	Montana	1,70	0.00
5	Michigan		0.600	North Carolina	1.00	1.90	Florida	1.34	0.33
3	lowa	0.190		Idaho	0.45	2.40	Delaware	1.60	0.00
7	West Virginia	0.180	0.600		0.40	2.40	Ohio	1.00	0.32
B	Virginia	0.260	0.500	Maryland	0.40	2.40	Arkansas	1.15	0.36
9	Idaho	0.150	0.600	Texas		2.50	Indiana	1.00	0.37
D	Ohio	0.180	0.550	Dist. of Columbia	0.30	2.40		1.00	0.07
1	Arizona	0.160	0.560	Maine	0.60		Oregon		0.41
2	Georgia	0.320	0.400	South Dakota	0.93	1.60	California	0.87	0.41
3	Louisiana	0.320	0.400	Ohio	0.32	2.20	Nevada	0.80	0.30
4	Kansas	0.180	0.530	Okiahoma	0.72	1.80	Kansas	0.79	
5	Dist. of Columbia	0.090	0.600	Alaska	2.50	0.00	Oklahoma	1.03	0.00
6	Maryland	0.090	0.600	North Dakota	0.50	2.00	Mississippi	0.68	0.34
7	Pennsylvania	0.080	0.600	Pennsylvania	0.00	2.40	Colorado	0.84	0.16
B	South Dakota	0.270	0.400	Wisconsin	0.25	2.00	Tennessee	0.62	0.35
9	North Dakota	0.160	0.500	Missouri	0.42	1.69	Nebraska	0.64	0.29
0	Wisconsin	0.060	0.500	New York	0.30	1.60	Kentucky	0.60	0.29
1	New York	0.140	0.400	Utah		1.88	South Carolina	0.57	0.29
2	Missouri	0.060	0.423	Louisiana	0.11	1.60	Idaho	0.57	0.29
3	Wyoming	0.020	0.400	Wyoming	0.00	1.60	West Virginia	0.55	0.29
4	Colorado	0.080	0.290	Colorado	0.28	1.16	Wyoming	0.60	0.20
5	New Hampshire	0.300	0.000	Montana	1.06	0.00	North Carolina	0.45	0.22
6	Vermont	0.265	0.000	Delaware	0.97	0.00	North Dakota	0.44	0.23
7	Delaware	0,160	0.000	Oregon	0.67	0.00	Alabama	0.43	0.20
В	Montana	0.140	0.000	Massachusetts	0.55	0.00	Virginia	0.30	0.26
9	Massachusetts	0.110	0.000	Kentucky	0.50	0.00	Georgia	0.37	0.18
0	Kentucky	0.080	0.000	Kansas	0.30	0.00	Louisiana	0.36	0.19
1	Oregon	0.080	0.000	New Hampshire	0.00	0.00	Missouri	0.17	0.19

Source: Excise tax rates from Federation of Tax Administrators (web).

Tax Burden on Tobacco, Orzechowski and Walker



May 12, 2014

Equity Research

Tobacco: Vapor World Expo - Key Takeaways

- Vapor Industry Conference Key Takeaways We recently presented at the "first of its kind" Vapor World Expo Trade Show in Chicago and wanted to highlight some of the key takeaways and other interesting tidbits we gleaned while attending this conference. In attendance were several hundred e-vapor product manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers and retailers. After attending/speaking at this show and others, we are even more excited about the vast potential of the e-vapor category which, according to our recent "Tobacco Talk" survey, is a \$2.2B retail market in the U.S. (incl. e-cigs). We believe the potential of vapors-tanks-mods (VTMs) is undeniable given the superior product performance and fierce customer commitment to the category. Therefore, if the robust growth of the VTM category continues and is not ultimately hindered by FDA regulation, we expect big tobacco has no choice but to enter this category either organically or via acquisition.
- We Expect Vapors-Tanks-Mods To Go More Mainstream In the Next Few Years - We spoke with several independent manufacturers of VTMs and ejuice and we believe these products will continue to make up a bigger portion of the e-vapor product mix in c-stores. VTMs are already present in the c-store channel running the gamut from small independent manufacturers getting product placement in small, local chains to manufacturers like Mistic bringing its Haus personal vaporizer into nearly 40,000 points of distribution over the next few months. Though we continue to believe "traditional" or "cig-alike" e-cigs are here to stay, especially as the technology improves and evolves, we believe the rapid growth and staying power of VTMs serves as a "wake-up call" for traditional e-cig manufacturers. We believe VTMs are the wave of the future which is both an opportunity and challenge for c-store retailers. The opportunity is the fast growth and attractive margins, but the challenge for these retailers will be the high level of consumer interaction and education required. According to our recent "Tobacco Talk" survey, over 70% of retailers carry or plan to carry VTMs soon. Further, nearly 70% of retailers either already see a negative traffic impact from vape shops or expect a negative impact soon.
- Key Trends in E-Juice (1) It is increasingly apparent that there is a trend towards high quality e-juice made in the U.S. and some e-juice companies are choosing to manufacturer their own flavors versus importing or using 3rd party flavor providers. We view this as positive especially given potential regulations that could require ingredient disclosure for pre-market approval and/or sale of the product (though it will likely be several years before regulations are finalized);
 (2) We have been hearing that consumers have very low loyalty to juice brands and, given the vast variety of flavors, they are always wanting to try what's new and different;
 (3) Though juice margins are still thought to be well above 50%, given the potential commoditization of e-juice products, we believe there could be margin compression in the industry especially since there are currently somewhat low barriers to entry.
- E-Vapor Category Growth is Accelerating Driven by VTMs According to our recent "Tobacco Talk" survey of independent e-vapor manufacturers, VTMs are growing at 2x the rate of the \$2.2B e-vapor category in 2014 with attractive margins that rival combustible cigs. On one hand this should ease concerns around e-cig category growth deceleration, but on the other hand highlights the pressures blu e-cigs and the e-cig category are facing from VTMs.

Please see page 6 for rating definitions, important disclosures and required analyst certifications

All estimates/forecasts are as of 05/12/14 unless otherwise stated.

Wells Fargo Securities, LLC does and seeks to do business with companies covered in its research reports. As a result, investors should be aware that the firm may have a conflict of interest that could affect the objectivity of the report and investors should consider this report as only a single factor in making their investment decision. Tobacco

Bonnie Herzog, Senior Analyst (212) 214-5051 / bonnie.herzog@wellsfargo.com Jessica Gerberi, CFA, Associate Analyst (212) 214-5029 / jessica.gerberi@wellsfargo.com Adam Scott, Associate Analyst (212) 214-8064 / adam.scott@wellsfargo.com



Exhibit 1. Examples of eGo Tanks and Mods (VTMs)



Source: Henleycigs.com

Exhibit 2. Estimated Size of the E-Vapor Market



Source: Nielsen C-Store Database, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC estimates *Other Non-Tracked channels include tobacco-only outlets and other e-cig retail locations.

Exhibit 3. Photos from Vapor World Expo



Source: Wells Fargo Securities, LLC



Source: Wells Fargo Securities, LLC

Key Takeaways from "Tobacco Talk" Independent E-Vapor **Manufacturer and Marketer Survey**

In late March 2014, we reached out to several independent e-cig/e-vapor companies to gather and consolidate information on the e-vapor category, specifically the burgeoning vapor/tank sub-segment of the broader e-vapor category. We surveyed independent manufacturers and marketers of e-vapor products. The majority of the respondents have annual sales of \$30MM or less with operating margins of 20-30%. Nearly half of the companies that responded have less than 10 employees, 18% have 10-50 employees, 18% have 50-100 employees, and 18% have more than 100 employees. In terms of online sales, 29% of respondents have no online sales while 29% have more than 50% of their sales online. Nearly 80% of the companies that responded have less than 5 brands and less than 50 different flavors with the majority offering between 10-100 SKUs. Points of retail distribution were widely dispersed, as 30% of the companies that responded having greater than 1,000 points of distribution. Please see pages 14-15 for more detailed information on the companies that completed our survey. Below are some of the key takeaways from this survey including direct quotes from a number of independent company owners, founders and senior executives.

• Retail Market for E-Vapor Products Likely Exceeds \$2B in the U.S. Today - Greater Than Our Original Estimates - "I believe scan data is way lower than actual sales."

- E-Vapor Retail Sales Mix Evolving 65% Weighted Towards E-cigarettes versus 35% Vapors/Tanks
- Vape Shops Increasingly Popular Places to Buy E-Vapor Products Slight Movement Away From C-Stores and Online
- Vapors/Tanks Sub-Segment Growth Expected to Continue to Accelerate in 2014–In Contrast to Broader E-Vapor Category Where Growth Remains Robust but Expected to Decelerate in 2014
- Average Vapor/Tank Consumer's Weekly Spend About 30% Less Than E-Cig Consumer's Weekly Spend This is Likely Contributing to the Lower Revenue (Decelerating Growth) of the Overall E-Vapor Category
- Vapors/Tanks Overwhelmingly Taking E-Cig Share According to 90% of Respondents Transition to Vapors Is the "Natural Progression" After E-Cigs "The big boys who sell just e-cigs are already becoming dinosaurs."
- Vapor/Tank Consumers 84% More Committed Then E-Cig Consumers to Their Vaping Lifestyle Driven by Greater Affordability, Ability to Personalize, Better Nicotine Delivery and Product Performance – "Passionate vapers don't use cig-alikes, simple as that."
- Greater Consumer Satisfaction, "Vaping Lifestyle" Factor and Better Affordability Key Drivers Behind Vapor/Tank Consumers Being Less Likely To Dual Use Vapors/Tanks versus E-Cig Consumers – We Believe These Factors Will Continue to Accelerate Growth of Vapors/Tanks - "Nicotine delivery is more efficient for vapors/tanks with more heat and more reliable products which have better battery life and more durability which makes people less likely to relapse to smoking."
- Vapors/Tanks Resonating with Millennials And Other Generations Interestingly, we believe Millennials (essentially adult vapers under 30 or "AVU" 30) have been early adopters of vapors/tanks due to: (1) ability to customize the vaping experience by virtue of creating your own flavor profiles; (2) Superior vaping experience that better mimics combustible cigs (greater vapor volume and better nicotine delivery to the bloodstream); (3) high comfort level with electronic devices/carrying chargers, and adapting to fast-changing technology; (4) high comfort level with online purchasing; (5) greater affordability relative to e-cigs or combustible cigs; (6) variable uses of vapor/tank devices; and (7) their view that smoking combustible cigs is "passé" and not wanting to be associated with cigarettes or be considered "smokers".
- The Growth of Vapors/Tanks Will Likely Continue to Put Pressure on Both Combustible and E-Cig Manufacturers Such That They Will Need to Join – "The writing is on the wall for combustible cigarettes, if the FDA can act to support the positive potential that they bring."
- •FDA Regulation Will Likely Impose Age, Marketing Restrictions and Set E-Liquid Manufacturing Standards for Public Safety; Respondents Hopeful FDA Will View E-Vapor Market As Harm Reduction Opportunity – "I'm hopeful that the FDA will act responsibly, and I'm encouraged by the investment they have made into an ongoing e-cigarette research program, and the engagement with vapor product industry bodies."
- New Innovation Ranges From Improved Vaping Experience, Bringing Automated, U.S.-Based Manufacturing to E-Vapor Product Production To Total Redesign of E-Cig Architecture As We Know It – "We are constantly evaluating new technology and evaluating every changing consumer wants and needs. Innovation will be a constant and continuous process within this industry."
- General Industry and Consumer Trends
 - More and More E-Cig Consumers Switching To Vapors/Tanks From E-Cigs Due to Increased Satisfaction with Vapor/Tank Products
 - Technology Still Has Lots of Room for Improvement
 - Industry Will Likely Consolidate Over Time
 - Regulation is Needed but Will Hopefully Not Stifle Innovation

Required Disclosures

Additional Information Available Upon Request

I certify that:

1) All views expressed in this research report accurately reflect my personal views about any and all of the subject securities or issuers discussed; and

2) No part of my compensation was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed by me in this research report.

Wells Fargo Securities, LLC does not compensate its research analysts based on specific investment banking transactions. Wells Fargo Securities, LLC's research analysts receive compensation that is based upon and impacted by the overall profitability and revenue of the firm, which includes, but is not limited to investment banking revenue.

STOCK RATING

1=Outperform: The stock appears attractively valued, and we believe the stock's total return will exceed that of the market over the next 12 months. BUY

2=Market Perform: The stock appears appropriately valued, and we believe the stock's total return will be in line with the market over the next 12 months. HOLD

3=Underperform: The stock appears overvalued, and we believe the stock's total return will be below the market over the next 12 months. SELL

SECTOR RATING

O=Overweight: Industry expected to outperform the relevant broad market benchmark over the next 12 months. M=Market Weight: Industry expected to perform in-line with the relevant broad market benchmark over the next 12 months. U=Underweight: Industry expected to underperform the relevant broad market benchmark over the next 12 months.

VOLATILITY RATING

V = A stock is defined as volatile if the stock price has fluctuated by +/-20% or greater in at least 8 of the past 24 months or if the analyst expects significant volatility. All IPO stocks are automatically rated volatile within the first 24 months of trading.

As of: May 9, 2014

50% of companies covered by Wells Fargo Securities, LLC Wells Fargo Securities, LLC has provided investment banking services for 46% of its Equity Research Outperform-rated Equity Research are rated Outperform. companies. Wells Fargo Securities, LLC has provided investment banking 48% of companies covered by Wells Fargo Securities, LLC services for 34% of its Equity Research Market Perform-rated Equity Research are rated Market Perform. companies. Wells Fargo Securities, LLC has provided investment banking 3% of companies covered by Wells Fargo Securities, LLC services for 14% of its Equity Research Underperform-rated Equity Research are rated Underperform.

companies.

Important Information for Non-U.S. Recipients

EEA – The securities and related financial instruments described herein may not be eligible for sale in all jurisdictions or to certain categories of investors. For recipients in the EEA, this report is distributed by Wells Fargo Securities International Limited ("WFSIL"). WFSIL is a U.K. incorporated investment firm authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. For the purposes of Section 21 of the UK Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 ("the Act"), the content of this report has been approved by WFSIL a regulated person under the Act. WFSIL does not deal with retail clients as defined in the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive 2007. The FCA rules made under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 for the protection of retail clients will therefore not apply, nor will the Financial Services Compensation Scheme be available. This report is not intended for, and should not be relied upon by, retail clients.

Australia – Wells Fargo Securities, LLC is exempt from the requirements to hold an Australian financial services license in respect of the financial services it provides to wholesale clients in Australia. Wells Fargo Securities, LLC is regulated under U.S. laws which differ from Australian laws. Any offer or documentation provided to Australian recipients by Wells Fargo Securities, LLC in the course of providing the financial services will be prepared in accordance with the laws of the United States and not Australian laws.

Hong Kong – This report is issued and distributed in Hong Kong by Wells Fargo Securities Asia Limited ("WFSAL"), a Hong Kong incorporated investment firm licensed and regulated by the Securities and Futures Commission to carry on types 1, 4, 6 and 9 regulated activities (as defined in the Securities and Futures Ordinance, "the SFO"). This report is not intended for, and should not be relied on by, any person other than professional investors (as defined in the SFO). Any securities and related financial instruments described herein are not intended for sale, nor will be sold, to any person other than professional investors (as defined in the SFO).

Japan – This report is distributed in Japan by Wells Fargo Securities (Japan) Co., Ltd, registered with the Kanto Local Finance Bureau to conduct broking and dealing of type 1 and type 2 financial instruments and agency or intermediary service for entry into investment advisory or discretionary investment contracts. This report is intended for distribution only to professional investors (Tokutei Toushika) and is not intended for, and should not be relied upon by, ordinary customers (Ippan Toushika).

The ratings stated on the document are not provided by rating agencies registered with the Financial Services Agency of Japan (JFSA) but by group companies of JFSA-registered rating agencies. These group companies may include Moody's Investors Services Inc, Standard & Poor's Rating Services and/or Fitch Ratings. Any decisions to invest in securities or transactions should be made after reviewing policies and methodologies used for assigning credit ratings and assumptions, significance and limitations of the credit ratings stated on the respective rating agencies' websites.

About Wells Fargo Securities, LLC

Wells Fargo Securities is the trade name for the capital markets and investment banking services of Wells Fargo & Company and its subsidiaries, including but not limited to Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, a U.S. broker-dealer registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and a member of NYSE, FINRA, NFA and SIPC, Wells Fargo Institutional Securities, LLC, a member of FINRA and SIPC, Wells Fargo Prime Services, LLC, a member of FINRA, NFA and SIPC, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and Wells Fargo Securities International Limited, authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

Wells Fargo Securities, LLC is a U.S. broker-dealer registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and a member of the New York Stock Exchange, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority and the Securities Investor Protection Corp.

This report is for your information only and is not an offer to sell, or a solicitation of an offer to buy, the securities or instruments named or described in this report. Interested parties are advised to contact the entity with which they deal, or the entity that provided this report to them, if they desire further information. The information in this report has been obtained or derived from sources believed by Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, to be reliable, but Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, does not represent that this information is accurate or complete. Any opinions or estimates contained in this report represent the judgment of Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, at this time, and are subject to change without notice. For the purposes of the U.K. Financial Conduct Authority's rules, this report constitutes impartial investment research. Each of Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, and Wells Fargo Securities International Limited is a separate legal entity and distinct from affiliated banks.. Copyright © 2014 Wells Fargo Securities, LLC.

SECURITIES: NOT FDIC-INSURED/NOT BANK-GUARANTEED/MAY LOSE VALUE