From:	Davidson Ian
To:	Trant Lindsay
Subject:	FW: Comments from Don Mench re: HB 3368 Changes To Home Occupation Uses
Date:	Monday, March 23, 2015 11:54:23 AM

-----Original Message-----
From: Christy Slovacek [mailto:cslov@frontier.com]
Sent: Monday, March 23, 2015 11:37 AM
To: Davidson Ian
Subject: Comments from Don Mench re: HB 3368 Changes To Home Occupation Uses

To the Committee on Rural Communities, Land Use and Water:

I oppose the proposed changes to allow outdoor uses and additional buildings in the home occupation ordinance language.

The activities of the home occupation business should be contained inside the residence. Outdoor use should be limited to parking, and the movement of people to and from the residence. The level of this activity should be similar to residential use.

Changing home occupation language to include outdoor storage, outdoor work and noise, equipment uses and additional buildings is not the intent of a home occupation permitted use.

When a farm or forest zoned property allows a residence to be developed, the primary purpose of farming or tree growth is still the required use. Having a home occupation in the residence should not allow the property to be used as an industrial, retail, or commercially zoned property.

Thank you for considering my opinion regarding HB 3368 (Home Occupation).

Don Mench
23035 E Lolo Pass Road
Zigzag, OR 97049
503-622-5588