The Oregon Judicial Department: Building Healthy Courts

Chief Justice Tom Balmer

To the House Judiciary Committees February 9, 2015







OJD Budget & Revenue

- OJD is less than 3 percent of State General Fund budget
- An increasing amount is passed through to others
 - Court facilities and security
 - Legal Aid, mediation
- Most of the OJD budget is for trial court operations
 Most of trial court budget is for people judges and staff
- The OJD collected \$277 million in fines/fees in 2011-13
 - Most goes to state General Fund and Criminal Fines Account
 - Also collected \$20 million for crime victims

Chief Justice Budget Priorities 2015-17 Biennium

- Maintain Current Service Level budget
 - Accomplished in co-chair's budget framework
- Finish Implementing Oregon eCourt
 Bond funding and training/maintenance
- Appropriate Judicial Compensation
- Safe and Suitable Court Facilities
- Improve Trial Court Services
- Help Families Access Justice
- Fund Treatment Courts

OJD Efforts to Increase Efficiency

- Implementation of Oregon eCourt
 - eFiling
 - Online document access
 - Online payments
- Docket Management
 - Best practices in all case types
 - Specialty courts: drug, mental health, DV, DUI, Veteran's
 - Family courts
 - Complex Commercial Court
- Centralization
 - Debt collection

OJD at the Legislature

- Judges and staff should tell you whether they are representing OJD or themselves.
- OJD generally does not take positions on policy bills
 We will provide information on how legislation might affect OJD or court proceedings.
- OJD has a limited policy agenda Relating to court administration

Legislative Actions Affecting the Courts

- Establish crimes, penalties, criminal procedures
- Define rights of action, civil procedures
- Establish docket priorities/timelines
- Require court locations and establish number of judges
- Set court fees
- Set judicial salaries
- Establish the budget
 Some items are non-reducible items

Oregon Supreme Court

- Discretionary review of Court of Appeals decisions
- Required review
- Direct appeals in death penalty cases
- Appeals from Tax Court decisions
- Review of attorney discipline and judge discipline
- Review of ballot measure titles
- Prison-siting disputes
- Other direct review cases
- Permissive review
- Habeas corpus
- Mandamus
- Quo warranto

Court of Appeals

- Appeals from circuit courts (criminal, civil, domestic relations, juvenile)
- Appeals from state agency rules and actions
- Appeals from local government decisions

Tax Court

Exclusive court jurisdiction on matters arising under state tax laws Regular Division: Tax Court judge presides over trials without a jury Magistrate Division: Magistrates conduct proceedings by telephone or in person



12

• "General" jurisdiction

- "Courts of record"
- 27 judicial districts

Public Safety

- Felonies and misdemeanors
- Violations
- Juvenile delinguency
- Protective orders (stalking, domestic violence)

Economic Functioning

- Establish and collect debts
- Foreclosures
- Interpret contracts
- Personal injury
- Landlord / tenant
- Consumer protection

Families in Crisis

- Dependency (child abuse and
- neglect)
- Child support
- Domestic relations (divorce, child
- custody, adoption)
- Termination of parental rights

<u>Other</u>

- **Civil commitment**
- Post conviction
- Probate
- Guardianship / conservatorship



What Legislators Need to Know About How Courts Interpret the Law

Chief Justice Thomas A. Balmer Justice Jack Landau Oregon Supreme Court

Chief Judge Rick Haselton Oregon Court of Appeals

January 14, 2015



Constitutional Principles / 2

• Role of the Legislature

- Is shared by the people (to initiate and refer laws)
- Has plenary power
 - In contrast to Congress
- Limits of legislative authority
 - Federal Constitution and laws
 - **Oregon Constitution**
 - Procedural
 - Subject matter

Constitutional Principles / 3

- Examples of Procedural Limitations (Article IV)
 - Open deliberations, read bills three times
 - Bills raising revenue start in the House, need 3/5 majority
 - Contain only one subject (in the bill title)
- Examples of Subject-matter Limitations (Article I)
 - No titles of nobility
 - Free exercise of religion
 - Free speech
 - Equal privileges and immunities
 - No ex post facto laws

18

Constitutional Principles / 4

- Role of the Courts
 - Determine and enforce the meaning of the Constitution and laws
 - May invalidate laws passed in violation of procedural or substantive limitations
 - This rarely happens
- Courts <u>do not</u> second-guess policy choices made by the legislature

Statutory Interpretation / 1

- Courts interpret laws and apply them to disputes.
 - Apply laws to individual circumstances
 - Interpret ambiguous language
 - Resolve conflicts between statutes
- How do we do that?

Statutory Interpretation / 2

• Two sets of rules for interpreting statutes

- Laws enacted by the legislature
 - ORS Chapter 174 sets rules for statutory construction
 - Use of legislative history
 - Effect of repeals
 - Conflicts between general and specific enactments
 - Severability
- Court-made rules
 - Developed over time
 - Applying constitution and statutes

Statutory Interpretation / 3

- How do courts determine meaning of laws?
 - Look at the words used by the legislature
 - The words used must match the intent
 - Courts cannot add or subtract words
 - Legislature can define the terms it uses
 - Or courts use common meaning (Webster's Dictionary)
 - Look at the context in which words are used
 - How used in that statute, other statutes
 - Look at legislative history

Statutory Interpretation / 4

• Legislative History

- Is <u>not</u> a substitute for clear drafting
- Generally does not mean lobbyist statements
 - Unless endorsed by legislators
- Legislator statements in committee
 - Where detail often is discussed
 - Staff Measure Summaries
- Floor statements
 - Heard by all legislators
 - Can use Q & A to establish clear intent



24

