From the desk of

Zep. John Huffman

Yes on HB 2710Clep . 30Guidance for Law Enforcement on the Use of Drones



Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) or "drones" are the latest in surveillance technology to reach domestic airspace and yet current law does not adequately address the significant privacy issues that their increased use will raise:

Increased Surveillance. The high cost of manned aircraft (helicopters, for example) has always imposed a limit on the government's aerial surveillance capacity. The low cost and flexibility of drones erode that limit.

More invasive technology. New technology, such as high-powered night vision cameras and see-through imaging, provide more and better detail from greater distances.

Free speech. Surveillance curtails individual liberty and freedom by placing Oregonians under fear of constant monitoring and scrutiny, leading innocent people to curtail their

free expression activities for fear of punishment by government.

The Heritage Foundation, the International Association of Chiefs of Police, the national Republican Party, and the ACLU all agree that guidelines on domestic drone use will help protect against unreasonable government intrusion.



HB 2710:

HB 2710 provides **much needed guidance** to law enforcement agencies and other public bodies on how to integrate drones into their work while preserving civil liberties protections for Oregonians:

- warrants prior to most drone use;
- retention and sharing policies to govern the use of any captured data;
- explicit, written, and publically accessible policies and procedures for the use of drones; and
- **exceptions** for emergencies and non-law enforcement use.



J Z

ヒータート