Child Welfare

Child Welfare Programs

Erinn Kelley-Siel, DHS Director Jerry Waybrant, Chief Operating Officer, Child Welfare and Self Sufficiency March 18 and 19, 2013





Presentation Outline

- 1. Child Welfare Program Mission & Goals
- 2. Child Welfare Program Response to Abuse/Neglect
- 3. System Improvement Data
- 4. 2011-13 Legislative Direction & System Transformation
- 5. 2013-15 Budget overview
- 6. Additional issues
- 7. Summary



Child Welfare Program Mission and Goals

Mission: Improve family capacity to provide safe and permanent living environments for children.

Goals:

- Protect children and promote children's safety in their homes.
- Help children who are unable to live safely in their homes live in settings that provide safety, stability and continuity with their families.
- Expand program partnerships and increase the cultural competency of DHS staff and partners to better serve Oregon's diverse communities.
- Prevent the current and future need for additional high end services.
- Mitigate trauma



Impact of Maltreatment throughout Life





Child Welfare is an interdependent system





Child Welfare Programs

Child Welfare Program Response to Abuse/Neglect



Child Welfare Continuum (2011 data)



Foster Care Entry Rate

Oregon's statewide entry rate continues to be above the national average.



Children 0 to 17 in foster care (Rate per 1,000) - 2010

KIDS COUNT Data Center, www.kidscount.org/datacenter A Project of the Annie E. Casey Foundation



Abuse/Neglect & Foster Care Entry Drivers

- Most substantiated child abuse/neglect in Oregon is neglect (31.4%)/"threat of harm" (TOH)(49.6%)
- Statewide, removal rate of children is 35%; removal rate for neglect is 52.4%, removal rate for neglect & TOH 63.6%.
- At 44%, Alcohol and Drug issues were the largest single family stress factor when child abuse/neglect was present; next most common stressors were Domestic violence (33%), and Parental Involvement in Law Enforcement (27%)
- In 2010, approximately 65% of the 8,200 children in child welfare out of home care came from families that would qualify for TANF.
- In the third Quarter of 2010 a total of 1,253 children entered foster care. Of those, 36.6% (or 458) received TANF prior to entering foster care. This percentage is up from 28% in the last Quarter of 2007 and is increasing.



2011 Exits From Foster Care





Child Welfare Programs

System Improvement Data



Progress Indicators – 2011





Progress Indicators – 2011



)(dhs

Equitable Foster Care Reduction: The Numbers

2011 Race Comparison: Oregon Children to Children Served in Foster Care				
Race	% of Oregon's children*	% of children served in foster care		
African American	2.1%	8.2%		
Asian	3.7%	1.0%		
Caucasian	66.9%	64.4%		
Hispanic (any race)	20.3%	14.4%		
Native American	1.3%	5.9%		
Pacific Islander	0.5%	0.6%		
Two or more race groups	5.2%	na		
Unknown/Not Recorded	na	5.5%		
*2010 Census Population under 18; U.S. Census				

Children Served in Foster Care, by Race 2009 - 2011

Race	2009	2010	2011
African American	8.3%	8.3%	8.2%
Asian	0.9%	1.0%	1.0%
Caucasian	62.5%	64.4%	64.4%
Hispanic (any race)	12.8%	13.7%	14.4%
Native American	8.8%	6.9%	5.9%
Pacific Islander	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%
Unknown/Not Recorded	6.4%	5.1%	5.5%



Foster Care: Safety, Health, Education, Transitions

- Safety: Foster Home Reviews, SAFE home study.
 Absence of abuse in FC: 99.10%.
- Stability: In 2011, 85% of newly certified families specially certified for specific child(ren); 55% of foster parents now child-specific. 38% kids in care had only one placement.
- Health: In 2008, 20% of children in care prescribed psychotropic medications; in 2010, number down to 14%.
- Education: K-12 grant/collaboration; Tuition Waivers
- Transitions: DMV partnerships, credit reports, YTP



Progress Indicators Summary - 2011

- Number of children entering foster care in 2011 was the lowest in the past 5 years.
- In 2011, 67% of child victims remained safely at home after a founded incident of abuse or neglect.
- The percentage of children leaving foster care to be reunited with their families increased from 63.2% to 64.1%.
- 13 Oregon counties decreased their foster care placement rate in 2011, and 17 Oregon counties reduced their overall foster care population that same year.



Child Welfare Programs

2011 Legislative Direction



Legislative Direction: 2011

- In Home Safety and Reunification Services
- Intensive Treatment and Recovery Services and Addiction Recovery Teams
- Domestic Violence Advocate Co-Location
- IV-E Waiver Enhanced Visitation, Parent Mentors



Legislative Direction: System Transformation

- Wraparound/System of Care (HB 2144 (2009))
- SB 964 (2011): Strengthening, Preserving and Reunifying Families
- Differential/Alternative Response Planning
- New IV-E Waiver Application Federal Financing Reform



Wraparound/SOC Implementation & Results





SB 964 Implementation To Date



Solution Alberta State and Umatilla Jackson, Clackamas, Malheur, Multnomah (Alberta), Coos, Josephine and Umatilla

Tillamook, Washington and Deschutes



OR-Kids – Go Live August 2011

System Successes	System Challenges
Federal Compliance	Data Conversion
Federal Data Reports	Contract Provider Payments
System Control	System Complexity
System Stability	Workload Impact
Business Process Initiative	
Payment Information	



Cross-System Alignment

Early Learning Council, Oregon Health Policy Board & DHS Joint Subcommittee:

- Developmental Screening
- Outcomes Alignment
- Data Systems
- Care Coordination Across Systems

TANF: Family Stability, Employment and Child Safety

Developmental Disabilities: Foster Care Reduction & New Children's Waiver, Services to Transition-Age Youth



Breakthroughs

Differential response: Child welfare intervention model allowing more children to remain safely at home and increasing support for families.





Performance-based Contracting: Shift focus from process to outcomes, increasing accountability for both programs and providers

Service Equity: Improving access and outcomes for DHS clients representing diverse communities.





Continuous Learning: Ongoing training, development, and leadership opportunities to improve employee engagement and client service outcomes.



Child Welfare Programs

2013-15 Budget Overview



GRB 2013-15 Investment in Outcomes

DHS Child Welfare Programs has set a goal of further reducing foster care by 15% in the next 10 years.

2013-15 Strategies:

- Statewide Implementation of SB 964 (2011)
- Implement Differential Response Model of CW Intervention
- Improve Indian Child Welfare Act Compliance
- Pursue Reinvestment Strategy
- Investment in Runaway & Homeless Youth Programs



GRB Child Welfare Budget – 10% of DHS GRB

Child Welfare Budget \$900.5 Million





GRB Child Welfare Budget

Child Welfare Budget by Fund Type - 47% Federal Funds





GRB Child Welfare Budget

Child Welfare Program Budgets - 93% Direct Payments and Services





SB 964 – Service Continuum

- Front-end Intervention Services w/ A&D or MH Providers
- Family-Centered, Behavioral Health Residential & Outpatient Treatment
- Supervised, Drug-Free, Emergency & Permanent Housing
- Case Management & Life Skills
- Intensive In-Home Services
- Enhanced Visitation Services
- Family Find
- CASA
- Other services/interventions



SB 964 & Differential Response



)(dhs

Child Welfare Workload

Staffing levels in DHS have a direct relation to the ability to provide timely, accurate eligibility, case management and adult/child safety services.

Actual Staffing level for Child Welfare as of November 2012 was 66.7% of the workload model.



Total Child Abuse/Neglect Reports

•GBB would invest in Child Welfare staffing to get to 80% of workload model by the end of the 2013-15 biennium.



National Data: CW Staffing & Child/Family Outcomes

2010 Child Welfare Information Gateway Report:

<u>FINDING</u>: Essential child welfare processes—including family engagement, relationship building, assessment, and permanency planning—are time intensive and require frequent worker-client contact. Heavy workloads and caseloads reduce the amount of time available for these processes.

2006 Children's Bureau & 2003 GAO Reports:

<u>FINDING:</u> Large caseloads and worker turnover delay the timeliness of investigations and limited the frequency of worker visits with children, thereby hampering agencies' attainment of key federal safety and permanency goals.



Prevention Reinvestment Strategy

For every 5% reduction in foster care, Oregon saves/avoids spending approx. \$7-8 mil (TF) per year

A commitment (state and/or federal) to reinvesting those savings in services that preserve and strengthen families would:

- 1) result in better outcomes for our kids and their parents;
- 2) result in fewer kids in foster care; and
- reduce the use of high end state systems such as Mental Health, Addictions and the Criminal Justice systems.



Oregon's New IV-E Waiver Strategy

January 2013: DHS applied for a second Federal Administration on Children and Families IV-E Waiver.

Oregon is one of 10 states with a new waiver application pending (10 additional waivers are to be awarded).

Oregon's new waiver proposal takes a system-wide approach and is requesting from the ability to use IV-E foster care savings to support Oregon's current child welfare system transformation, including:

- > interventions to support families in-home;
- > expedite the return of children who are in substitute care;
- work with youth and families to reduce the need for high level residential care.



Child Welfare Programs

Additional Issues/System Challenges



Additional Issues/System Challenges

- Dependency Representation
- Provider Reimbursement and Supports
- Housing



Child Welfare Program Summary

Child Welfare Programs are designed to:

- Keep Abused and Neglected Children Safe
- Stabilize and Preserve Families
- Maintain Family and Community Connections

Where we are now...

Outcomes are improving and system is transforming.

Where we (hope) we are headed...

- Continue investments in and partnerships with community-based services, supports for children, youth and families.
- Improve responsiveness to system drivers (neglect) and system changes resulting from reduced utilization of foster care.
- Strengthen efforts focused on equitable, culturally-specific services.
- Improve partnerships to maximize education, health and transition outcomes for foster children and youth.

Questions regarding Child Welfare Programs?

DHS Presentations: What's Next

- *March 20* Committee invited testimony
- March 21 Public Testimony for OVRS, SS, DD and CW
- March 25 26 Aging and People with Disabilities Programs

Thank you!