From: Sent: To: Subject: Melanie Barker <barkermel99@gmail.com> Friday, March 08, 2013 7:22 AM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Melanie Barker 5965 Century Oak Lane NE Silverton, OR 97381

From: Sent: To: Subject: Roberta Bray <robbie3joan@yahoo.com> Thursday, March 07, 2013 10:45 PM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Roberta Bray 2194 Alex Ave. SE Salem, OR 97302

From: Sent: To: Subject: diane brooke <waterdi.diane.brooke@gmail.com> Friday, March 08, 2013 7:39 AM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

diane brooke 620 Joyce st Woodburn, OR 97071

From: Sent: To: Subject: douglas depue <dougdepue@onemain.com> Thursday, March 07, 2013 5:48 PM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

douglas depue p. o. box 12668 salem, OR 97309

From: Sent: To: Subject: Sandy Lee Fitch <mollyami@q.com> Friday, March 08, 2013 7:59 AM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Sandy Lee Fitch P O Box 12181 Street 2 Salem, OR 97317

From: Sent: To: Subject: Teresa Flaherty <flahertyts@comcast.net> Thursday, March 07, 2013 5:17 PM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Teresa Flaherty 533 Snow White Way Se Salem, OR 97302

From: Sent: To: Subject: Raszka Shelley Monday, March 11, 2013 10:53 AM Raszka Shelley FW: Oppose SB 633

-----Original Message-----From: Julie Hamilton [<u>mailto:jkhamiltonacup@hotmail.com</u>] Sent: Thursday, March 07, 2013 5:13 PM To: Sen Courtney Subject: Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Julie Hamilton 120 Marietta St. Se Salem, OR 97302

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tiffany Hamilton <tiffanyrussellehamilton@yahoo.ca> Thursday, March 07, 2013 9:46 PM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Hamilton 4646 Rivercrest Drive N Keizer, OR 97303

From: Sent: To: Subject: Bob Hammond <bobortrout@yahoo.com> Thursday, March 07, 2013 5:35 PM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Bob Hammond 4735 18th Place South Salem, OR 97302

From: Sent: To: Subject: Barbara Hopkins <Maatkere@yahoo.com> Thursday, March 07, 2013 5:23 PM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Barbara Hopkins 3586 chiefs ct me Salem, OR 97305

From: Sent: To: Subject: Ann Kennedy <g108108@yahoo.com> Thursday, March 07, 2013 7:11 PM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Ann Kennedy 797 South St NE Salem, OR 97301

From: Sent: To: Subject: Mary A Koch <amillionbeads@yahoo.com> Friday, March 08, 2013 8:16 AM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Mary A Koch P.O. Box 387 Salem, OR 97301

From: Sent: To: Subject: andrea luton <lutona@gmail.com> Friday, March 08, 2013 1:11 AM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future,

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

andrea luton 1165 Chemeketa St NE # 505 Salem, OR 97301

From: Sent: To: Subject: W A Mackay <mackayd@wvi.com> Thursday, March 07, 2013 9:34 PM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

W A Mackay 1115 Madison NE #208 Salem, OR 97301

From: Sent: To: Subject: Roxanne Magnuson <Roxanne@LifeSourceNaturalFoods.com> Thursday, March 07, 2013 5:45 PM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Roxanne Magnuson 2649 Commercial St SE Salem, OR 97302

From: Sent: To: Subject: Evelyn Pietrowski-Ciullo <kisadancer@comcast.net> Thursday, March 07, 2013 5:39 PM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future,

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Pietrowski-Ciullo 1145 Madison st NE Salem, OR 97301

From: Sent: To: Subject: Robert Plata <da_crusher@yahoo.com> Thursday, March 07, 2013 9:38 PM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Robert Plata 3124 Perkins St., NE Salem, OR 97303

From: Sent: To: Subject: Fred Smith <fl.smith@frontier.com> Friday, March 08, 2013 6:56 AM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Fred Smith 816 Reserve St Silverton, OR 97381

From: Sent: To: Subject: Joe Tilman <mrtilman@yahoo.com> Thursday, March 07, 2013 6:30 PM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Joe Tilman PO Box 12308 Salem, OR 97303

From: Sent: To: Subject: Larry Watson <oredoc1@comcast.net> Friday, March 08, 2013 4:45 AM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator

Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

1 am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Larry Watson 1430 McCoy Ave NE Salem, OR 97301

From: Sent: To: Subject: Bob Welsh <bob@mind-over-media.com> Thursday, March 07, 2013 6:57 PM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Bob Welsh 2183 Juneau Ct. S Salem, OR 97302

From: Sent: To: Subject: Mariah Wienholz <nick.wienholz@hotmail.com> Thursday, March 07, 2013 8:11 PM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Mariah Wienholz 4789 Bonanza Dr Salem, OR 97305

From: Sent: To: Subject: Janus Wilhelm <knightowl2003@msn.com> Thursday, March 07, 2013 8:44 PM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Janus Wilhelm 2494 Phipps Ln ne Salem, OR 97305

From: Sent: To: Subject: Joan Williamson <jwilliamsonlpc@comcast.net> Thursday, March 07, 2013 5:35 PM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Joan Williamson 530 Wildwind Dr. SE Salem, OR 97302

From: Sent: To: Subject: Richard Winters <darkwing9906@frontier.com> Thursday, March 07, 2013 7:46 PM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Richard Winters 5770 Valley View Rd. NE Silverton, OR 97381 Silverton, OR 97381

From: Sent: To: Subject: Jaymee Workman <eoais1@hotmail.com> Friday, March 08, 2013 8:24 AM Sen Courtney Oppose SB 633

CC: Racquel Rancier Committee Administrator Senate Committee on Rural Communities and Economic Development To be submitted as testimony for public hearing

I am writing to urge you to oppose Senate Bill 633.

Senate Bill 633 would strip counties in Oregon of their abilities to craft appropriate county-wide seed policies. SB 633 would give exclusive regulatory power over seeds, and products of agricultural seed, to the state, preempting local authority.

Several county policies could be affected by SB 633. For example, citizens in Jackson County have successfully achieved getting a ban on growing genetically engineered crops on the May 2014 ballot. Citizens in four other Oregon counties are also hard at work on similar county bans. Several counties and cities in California, Hawaii, Washington, and Maine have already adopted policies to ban the growing of GE crops in their counties. Oregon counties should be allowed the same level of local control as these other states. But if SB 633 passes, the state will take that power from our county.

Further, the broadness of SB 633 ignores local issues of economic viability which are best handled by local control. Contrary to the idea that a "patchwork" of local regulations will stifle growth, it is precisely the process of making decisions at the local level that best serves the unique conditions of our various counties.

Regardless of your opinion on genetically engineered crops, SB 633 goes too far. Seed and agricultural issues are unique to each climate, culture, and business community, and control over such matters should remain in the hands of each county.

Please oppose SB 633 so our county can maintain control of our regional economic and agricultural future.

I wish these comments to be submitted as testimony for public hearing.

Sincerely,

Jaymee Workman 6130 Mcleod Ln. N.E. Keizer, OR 97303