RETURN ON INVESTMENT

An Overview of a Study of Vocational Rehabilitation Conducted by Northwest Economic ResearchCenter

RETURN ON INVESTMENT

Goals

- Determine the return on the dollars invested in the Vocational Rehabilitation program
- Provide evidence for further investment in the program

The Study

- The Study was conducted by the Northwest Economic Research Center By Jeff Renfro, Senior Economist
- Briefed on the findings in January, 2013
- An article describing the study will be completed by the fall

The Study

- Quasi Experimental Design
- Tried to identify what the impact of participating in the VR program was on post program earnings and hours
- Used clients who were eligible but did not enter plan as the comparison group
- Tried to control for the differences between the comparison and the program group in order to determine what the impact of participation in VR was
- Used clients who closed from plan for the period of FFY 2006 through FFY2008 for the program and comparison groups
- Compared the experimental and comparison groups on three years of pre and post earnings and work hours (UI Wage data)

The Study

Used a statistical modeling software to identify the impact of the VR program on the program participant's earnings and hours post program.



Significant Variables

- VR program
- □ Gender Males have higher wages, hours
- Regional Unemployment Rate
- Program Time: Positive Impact
- Total Purchase of services: Positive impact
- Marital Status: Married and Widowers fare better
- □ TANF, SSI, SSDI: receipt of benefits, Negative impact
- Bachelors Degree, AA degree or Equivalent some college: Positive Impact

Significant Variables

- Most Significant Disability: Negative impact
- Drug and Alcohol programs: Negative impact
- Job Retention, Job Maintenance, Job Readiness: Positive Impact

Effect of program on likelihood of employment

The program group is 1.93 as likely to be employed in the first quarter as the comparison group

Effect of program participation on wages

- Difference in wages between the comparison group and VR participants
 - 1st quarter post employment \$1,353
 - 1st year post employment \$4,941
 - 3rd year post employment \$3,653
 - Total post program controlled for inflation \$12,697

Benefits/Costs

- Difference between Program and Comparison Group:
- First ten years
 - Participant \$16,127.47
 - Taxpayer \$6,030.27
 - Life time (age 65)
 Participant \$28,779.45
 Taxpayer \$12,081.03

Summary of Return on Investment

□ Net Benefit:

Estimated Lifetime Benefit

\$28,779.45

Χ

8,773

5.2

- Number of program participants
- Benefits for all program participants \$252,482,148.17
 - Estimated Lifetime benefit x Number of program participants
- Total program cost (entire sample) -\$ 48,415,317.05
- Difference \$204,066,831.12

Ratio of total program benefit to total program cost

State Share of the Cost

- Benefit for all program participants (estimate Lifetime Benefit x number of program participants)
 Total program costs (entire sample)
 State share of program costs
 (28% of total program costs for entire sample)
- Ratio of total benefit to state share of cost: 24

Benefit to the Taxpayers

Benefits to Taxpayers

- Benefits to Taxpayers: Taxes paid by clients who are employed
- Public Assistance Benefits not paid by State as result of employment
- □ First ten years:
 - \$6,030.27 per program client
- □ Lifetime(until age 65):
 - \$12,081.03 per program client

Cost Benefit of a Counselor

- □ Tax benefit of one counselor per year:
 - Amount of taxes paid per counselor: \$42,840.44
 - Based on the lifetime tax returns and savings of Public Assistance Benefits of one client X the average number of clients rehabilitated by a counselor in a year (17.87)
 - Cost benefit ratio for a counselor: for every \$1 spent on a counselor \$10.8 are returned in tax revenue and public assistance savings to the State.