

Eugene Water & Electric Board

500 East 4th Avenue/Post Office Box 10148 Eugene, Oregon 97440-2148 541-685-7000 www.eweb.org

February 19, 2013

Dear Chair Bailey and Members of the Committee:

I am Jason Heuser, Governmental Relations Liaison for the Eugene Water & Electric Board, testifying in opposition to HB 2795.

EWEB does support and provide incentives for customers who are interested in installing distributed generation to offset their own electric consumption. It is a generation resource that does not addresses our planning needs, but we are nonetheless providing some support to those customers. However, there are rational limits to how far this support for net metering can go and HB 2795 would run counter to limits necessary to be as fair as possible to both net metering participants and nonparticipants and minimize inter-customer subsidization, which is something our customers have told us they are not keen on.

EWEB is currently providing staff support to a prospective community solar project in Eugene to determine ways EWEB can assist them. Virtual net metering (VNM) was one measure that has been discussed. EWEB requested estimates from vendors as to the cost of billing software upgrades to accommodate virtual net metering. These estimates ranged from a low of \$150,000 to a high of \$300,000. Additionally, virtual net metering would raise new issues and significant demands on EWEB staff time, we currently have only one full time employee administering EWEB's solar program. EWEB cannot justify incurring the rate impact of a cost this great that would benefit such a small number of customers. Furthermore, EWEB believes community solar projects can be accomplished without virtual net metering — it is not essential to success. We do not see a problem with a conventional approach of community solar participants receiving a monthly check for their share of project generation. EWEB continues to work with the proponents of this community solar project and among other forms of support we will be evaluating is the feasibility of hosting and performing maintenance for the project at our West Eugene operations center since we already have panels of our own that we maintain there.

EWEB also wishes to call attention to our concerns about the applicability of HB 2795. This legislation applies to utilities that make 3% or more of retail electricity sales in Oregon – this is clearly using the threshold used to determine which utilities are subject to the highest standard of Oregon's utility standard. Candidly, that % threshold changed multiple times in the 2007 session, subject to the vagaries of the political process and was intended solely for the applicability of the RPS. There is no reason it should be used for applicability of other legislation or used to define a utility as large or not. Please see the attached PUC Utility statistics for 2012. At 5% of Oregon's retail sales, EWEB is dramatically smaller than the two largest Oregon utilities, PGE and Pacific Power serving 39% and 28% of Oregon load respectively. Again, for perspective, EWEB has one single staff person administering EWEB's solar program. EWEB adamantly opposes using the RPS standard thresholds as the basis of determining the applicability of this and other legislation.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Jason Heuser Governmental Relations Liaison Eugene Water & Electric Board (EWEB)



2011 MWh Sales to Ultimate Customers^[A]
Oregon

Total	West Oregon	Wasco Electric	Umatilla Electric	Surprise Valley Electrification	Salem Electric	Oregon Trail Electric	Midstate Electric	Lane Electric	Hood River Electric	Harney Electric	Douglas Electric	Coos-Curry Electric	Consumers Power, Inc.	Columbia Rural Electric	Columbia Power	Columbia Basin Electric	Clear Water Power	Central Electric	Blachly-Lane County	Cooperatives:		Suppliers (ESS)	Total Electricity Service		Total	Portland General Electric	PacifiCorp	idaho Power	Investor-Owned Utilities:			
4,665,760	68,707	107,460	922,432	33,822	315,984	623,174	374,673	235,525	107,003	63,153	148,362	340,074	390,653	5,538	23,845	99,239	1,704	653,331	151,082			1,077,922			32,008,257	18,356,826	13,014,573	636,858		Sales	MWh	
10.0%	0.15%	0.23%	1.97%	0.07%	0.67%	1.33%	0.80%	0.50%	0.23%	0.13%	0.32%	0.73%	0.83%	0.01%	0.05%	0.21%	0.00%	1.39%	0.32%			2.3%			68,3%	39.2%	27.8%	1.4%		Sales	of MWh	Percent
200,202	4,315	4,610	14,084	1,749	18,545	30,198	18,200	12,748	3,655	2,378	9,717	17,425	21,851	140	1,804	3,839	154	31,173	3,617			34			1,400,277	823,171	558,721	18,385		Customers	No. of	Average
Total Oregon	*						Total	Tillamook	Northern Wasco Co.	Emerald	Columbia River	Clatskanie	Central Lincoln	People's Utility Districts:		Total	Springfield	Monmouth	Milton-Freewater	McMinnville	Hermiston	Forest Grove	Eugene	Drain	Cascade Locks	Canby	Bandon	Ashland	Municipal-Owned Utilities:			
46,837,577							4,182,783	475,451	564,286	420,834	477,344	970,522	1,274,347			4,902,855	815,105	70,715	104,401	712,845	107,188	233,750	2,414,476	16,846	19,430	169,665	63,044	175,390		Sales	HWIM	
100%							8.9%	1.02%	1.20%	0.90%	1.02%	2.07%	2.72%			10.5%	1.74%	0.15%	0.22%	1.52%	0.23%	0.50%	5.15%	0.04%	0.04%	0.36%	0.13%	0.37%		Sales	of MWh	Percent
1,897,787							113,430	20,545	10,780	20,419	18,604	4,591	38,491			183,844	31,591	4,216	4,904	17,708	5,316	9,013	87,695	686	808	6,758	3,761	11,390	÷	Customers	No. of	Average

[[]A] Ultimate Customer Totals exclude Sales for Resale.