Dear Members of the House Rules Committee,

The media and even some Oregon State Senators continue to repeat a false statement about SB 132.

Here is one example:

Under SB132, they would either need a doctor's note saying they'd been informed of the risks and benefits of vaccinations, <u>or provide a certificate proving they had watched an approved online</u> <u>education video.</u>

http://www.oregonlive.com/opinion/index.ssf/2013/06/oregon_should_adopt_a_smarter.html

SB 132 does not say the educational module will be an online video or even that it will be a video.

If it is the intension of the supporters of SB132 to allow a parent to go online, watch an educational video and sign for themselves that they have watched this video, then the bill needs to be amended to say so.

The version passed by the senate will require the signature of either a medical professional or someone the Oregon Health Authority will decide can certify that a parent has completed an "educational module" in order for anyone in Oregon to exempt or even delay one or more vaccines required for school for religious or conscientious reasons. This will create a burden and is unconstitutional.

The rules committee should kill this bill. There is no crisis and this bill will violate religious freedom and removes a right from statute that has been respected for years.

Sincerely,

Cindy Loveland