TRIANGLE LAKE PESTICIDE STUDY



Topics Covered

- 1. Location, Ownership and Topography
- 2. Pesticide Spray Data Summary
- 3. Environmental Health Impact Assessment
- 4. Spray Record Keeping Issues
- 5. Policy Deficiencies
- 6. Policy Recommendations



Oregon Health Authority Highway 36 / Triangle Lake Exposure Investigation



Study Area is 10-14 Miles West of Eugene





Residential Areas in the Study Area





Mixed Ownership Within the Study Area



0

10 Miles

Ownership Data is from the BLM GIS Data Website (http://www.blm.gov/or/gis/data.php)



Current Land Use Patterns





High Stream Density and Steep Terrain







Salmon and Steelhead Streams



BEYOND

TOXICS

Lake Creek Falls

Below Triangle Lake - Above Fish Creek Confluence



The State constructed fish ladders for returning salmon

Photo - Oregon State Archives

Triangle Lake Aerial and Ground Herbicide Sprays





Aerial Spray Seasonal Trends

Pounds of Pesticide Product Sprayed





Adjuvants

Adjuvants are chemicals used to help the herbicides bind to the foliage. Adjuvants can be highly toxic.

> Adjuvants were reported for some records and not others.

 Consistent reporting of adjuvants is not clear.



Tank Mixes

Definition: combining many chemicals together for a single spray operation.

Examples:

- 2,4-D, Atrazine, Hexazinone, Foambuster
- Chlopyralid, Hexazinone, Foambuster
- Glyphosate, Imazapyr, Metsulfuron Methyl, Sulfometuron Methyl, Methylated Seed Oil



*

Synergistic Effects

- Synergy occurs when the effect of a mixture of chemicals is greater than the sum of the individual effects.
- Synergistic effects between multiple pesticides and/or other chemicals is an important consideration to protect the public from the adverse health effects associated with pesticide use and exposure.



Environmental Health Impact Assessment

Field Use Environmental Impact Quotient Formula Developed by Kovach, et al. IPM Program, Cornell University

Aerial Sprays 2009 Field Use EIQ Ratings





Aerial Sprays 2010 Field Use EIQ Ratings





Aerial Sprays 2011 Field Use EIQ Ratings



Individual Spray Application Records



High Exposure Levels

DRINKING WATER STANDARDS

TRIANGLE LAKE RESIDENTS URINE RESULTS

EPA's maximum contaminant level (MDL) for toxics is a level of protection based on the best available science to prevent identified health problems and mortality.

2011 urine sampling of both 2,4-D and Atrazine are magnitudes above the EPA maximum contaminant level for safe drinking water.



Comparing Urine Levels to EPA Maximum Contaminant Levels

Pesticide	Spring 2011 Urine	Fall 2011 Urine	EPA Drinking Water Standard
2,4-D	4.9 mg/L average	0.37 mg/L	0.07 mg/L
Atrazine Equivalents	5.0 mg/L average	no detect	0.003 mg/L



In Conclusion...

- 1. Spray Record Keeping Issues
- 2. Policy Deficiencies
- 3. Policy Recommendations

Application Records

Records are not consistent

□ OAR 629-620-0600 is not being met

- Legal description of location
- Brand Name or EPA registration # of chemicals used
- Acres treated
- Rate of application
- Date and time of application
- Weather data when applicable



Some Spray Record Were Missing Acres

2010-781-0008-RRC	
OREGON FOREST MANAGEMENT SERVICES PESTICIDE APPLICATION RECORDS	Confidential
LANDOWNER, Roseburg Resources Timber	
UNITS, LOCATION. NeLSON 175-7W Sec 20	
DATE OF APPLICATION . 9 21/10	• •
SUPPLIER OF HERBICIDE. Land OWNER (RRT)	
EPA REGISTRATION # 1) Accord XRT II = 62719-556	
2) MSm-60 # \$1927-7= SULFOMET 3524	ol
FORMULATION 1) Allord XRT IT 2 QT	
2)MSm-60=.802/SULTEMET 2.502	
AMOUNT APPLIED PER ACRE . EMPTY"S RINSE X 3	
THEN RECYCLEOR RETURN TO SUPPLIER X	
TARGET VEGETATION Gruss, STE Pred	-
EQUIPMENT USED . BACK PACES hand Applic.	. <
TIME: 9100 HUMID: 90 TEMP: 54 WIND	0-
11:00 70' 58	0-2-NW
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
NAME OF APPLICATORS AND TRAINEER	
	-
Accord XATIE=1.79 g. mix gass = 25 g.	
0 v 51 = 12.5 02.	/
msm-so . = 100.	· /
	· /
	(



7 Sprays from Nov 11 through Dec 6, 2010 with the exact same weather data

PESTICIDE APPLICATION RECORD

1. Project Name (Unit): Windy Peaks

- Name of Applicator: Nick Domes 179083
 Operator: Nick's Timber Services Inc. 179082
- 3. Dates of application: 11-11 2010
- 4. Time of application: 0700-1600
- 5. Location of Application: See Map
- 6. Type of equipment used: Hack and Squirt
- 7. Pesticide used: Arsenal AC 50% in water
- 8. Amount of Chemical used: .75 Gallons
- 9. Primary target species: Big Leaf Maple

 10. Weather conditions:
 Start

 Wind velocity:
 1-3

 Wind direction:
 Variable

 Temperature:
 62

 Humidity:
 80%

End 1-3 Variable 70 50% 62-70°

Mits Porrer

2010 781 00 630



Nov 12, 2010 Same temperature, wind and humidity data

F	PESTICIDE APPLICATIO	NRECORD		
1. Project Name (Unit): Wind	v Peaks			
2. Name of Applicator: Nick I Operator: Nick's Timber S	Domes 179083 Services Inc. 179082			
3. Dates of application: 11-12	2010			
4. Time of application: 0700-1	600			
5. Location of Application: Se	e Map			
6. Type of equipment used: Ha	ack and Squirt			
7. Pesticide used: Arsenal AC 5	50% in water			
8. Amount of Chemical used:	.75 Gallons			
9. Primary target species: Big	Leaf Maple			
10. Weather conditions: Wind velocity: Wind direction: Temperature: Humidity:	Start 1-3 Variable 62 80%	End 1-3 Variable 70 50%	-	
That Nomer			•	



Nov 15, 2010 Same temperature, wind and humidity data

	PESTICIDE APPLICATION	RECORD
1. Project Name (Unit): Wir	dy Peaks	
2. Name of Applicator: Nick Operator: Nick's Timber	Comes 179083 Services Inc. 179082	
3. Dates of application: 11-1	5 2010	
4. Time of application: 0700	-1200	
5. Location of Application: 5	See Map	
6. Type of equipment used:	Hack and Squirt	
7. Pesticide used: Arsenal AC	50% in water	
P. A mount of Change and A		
8. Amount of Chemical used	: .75 Gallons	
Primary target species: Bi	g Leaf Maple	
10. Weather conditions:	Start	End
Wind direction:	1-5 Variable	1-3 Variable
Temperature:	62	70
Humidity:	80%	50%

2010 781 00630



Nov 16, 2010 Same temperature, wind and humidity data

	PESTICIDE APPLICATION	RECORD	
1. Project Name (Unit): Wir	ndy Peaks		
 Name of Applicator: Nick Operator: Nick's Timber 	k Domes 179083 Services Inc. 179082		
3. Dates of application: 11-1	6 2010		
4. Time of application: 0700	-1600		
5. Location of Application: S	See Map		
6. Type of equipment used:	Back Pack		
7. Pesticide used: Forestry Ga	arlon 3% and LV6 2%		
8. Amount of Chemical used	: .5 Gallons		
Primary target species: Sc	otch Broom		
10. Weather conditions: Wind velocity:	Start	End	
Wind direction:	Variable	1-3 Variable	
Temperature: Humidity:	62 80%	70 50%	

62-70°

2010 781 00630

Dec 2, 2010 Same temperature, wind and humidity data

	PESTICIDE APPLICATION R	ECORD	
1. Project Name (Unit): W	ndy Peaks		
 Name of Applicator: Nie Operator: Nick's Timbe 	ek Domes 179083 er Services Inc. 179082		
3. Dates of application: 12-	2 2010		
4. Time of application: 070	0-1600		
5. Location of Application:	See Map		
6. Type of equipment used:	Back Pack		
7. Pesticide used: Forestry C	arlon 3% and LV6 2%		
8. Amount of Chemical use	d: .5 Gallons		
9. Primary target species: S	cotch Broom		
10. Weather conditions: Wind velocity: Wind direction: Temperature: Humidity:	Start 1-3 Variable 62 80%	End 1-3 Variable 70 50%	
and An	ver		
JW } 000			



Dec 3, 2010 Same temperature, wind and humidity data

	PESTICIDE APPLIC	ATION RECORD	
1. Project Name (Unit): Wi	ndy Peaks		
 Name of Applicator: Nic Operator: Nick's Timbe 	ck Domes 179083 er Services Inc. 179082		
3. Dates of application: 12-	3 2010		
4. Time of application: 070	0-1600		
5. Location of Application:	See Map		
6. Type of equipment used:	Back Pack		
7. Pesticide used: Forestry G	arlon 3% and LV6 2%		
8. Amount of Chemical use	d: .5 Gallons		
9. Primary target species: S	cotch Broom		
Wind velocity	Start	End	
Wind velocity: Wind direction: Temperature: Humidity:	1-3 Variable 62 80%	End 1-3 Variable 70 50%	
Wind velocity: Wind direction: Temperature: Humidity:	1-3 Variable 62 80%	End 1-3 Variable 70 50%	
Wind velocity: Wind direction: Temperature: Humidity:	I-3 Variable 62 80%	End 1-3 Variable 70 50%	
Wind velocity: Wind direction: Temperature: Humidity:	I-3 Variable 62 80%	End 1-3 Variable 70 50%	
Wind velocity: Wind direction: Temperature: Humidity:	I-3 Variable 62 80%	End 1-3 Variable 70 50%	
Wind velocity: Wind direction: Temperature: Humidity:	I-3 Variable 62 80%	End 1-3 Variable 70 50%	
Wind velocity: Wind direction: Temperature: Humidity:	I-3 Variable 62 80%	End 1-3 Variable 70 50%	
Wind velocity: Wind direction: Temperature: Humidity:	I-3 Variable 62 80%	End 1-3 Variable 70 50%	
Wind velocity: Wind direction: Temperature: Humidity:	I-3 Variable 62 80%	End 1-3 Variable 70 50%	



Dec 6, 2010 Same temperature, wind and humidity data

	PESTICIDE APPLICATION	RECORD	
1. Project Name (Unit): Wi	ndy Peaks		
 Name of Applicator: Nic Operator: Nick's Timbe 	k Domes 179083 r Services Inc. 179082		
3. Dates of application: 12-0	5 2010		
4. Time of application: 0700	0-1600		
5. Location of Application:	See Map		
6. Type of equipment used:	Back Pack		
7. Pesticide used: Forestry G	arlon 3% and LV6 2%		
8. Amount of Chemical used	l: .75 Gallons		
9. Primary target species: So	cotch Broom		
•			
10. Weather conditions: Wind velocity: Wind direction: Temperature: Humidity:	Start 1-3 Variable 62 80%	End 1-3 Variable 70 50%	
Much Vines			



Scattered Records

 To ensure accuracy Beyond Toxics filed a second request for outstanding spray records

ODF discovered 26 additional records

 Demonstrates a need for a central repository for spray records



Notifications Not Sufficient for Human Health Protections



South Lane County



Policies That Protect Human Health

Compare Washington Forest Practices
 Act with Oregon Forest Practices

 Compare Oregon Forest Practices Act with Federal Forest Practices



Comparison of Aerial Spraying Pesticides Regulations

Protection Area	Washington State Forest Practices Act	Oregon State Forest Practices Act
Domestic Water	200'	60'
Supply	& triggers Special Review	
Buffer next to Residences	200'	None
Buffer next to Agriculture Lands	100'	None
Posting Site	Must post 5 days in advance and 15 days after spraying	No posting



Comparison of Aerial Spraying Pesticides Regulations, con't

	Washington State Forest Practices Act	Oregon State Forest Practices Act
Public Comments Allowed	Yes	No
Agency Review Period	3 Weeks	No Review
Application Records Available to the Public	Yes	No
Years Records are Kept	7 years	3 Years
Ground Water Protection Areas	Spray Application in vulnerable ground water areas trigger a Class 4 SEPA Review; Chemicals Identified as Not Allowed: Atrazine, Bromacil, Dcpa, Disulfoton, Diuron, Hexazinone, Metolachlor, Metribuzin, Picloram, Prometon, Simazine, Tebuthiuron	None
BEYOND		

205

US Forest Service Stopped Spraying Timber Units in the 1980's

It was more costly, more labor intensive. But forestry in Oregon is profitable under many different scenarios. The Forest Service just saddled itself to a different horse and rode off into the future.

> -Jim Furnish, former US Forest Service Deputy Chief



Triangle Lake School 2007





Slash Burning During School Hours 2008





No buffer between clear cut and school classrooms





Imazapyr Detected in School Drinking Water

Imazapyr sprayed on hillside behind Triangle Lake school after logging.





School Drinking Water Holding Tank

2012 USDA Study of Pesticides in School Drinking Water

Summary Fact #1 Forest Practices

- 56% increase in acres of forest aerial sprayed from 2009 to 2011
- 99% increase of pounds of pesticides aerial sprayed from 2009 to 2011.
- 226% increase in aerial sprays from Spring 2009 to Spring 2011.



Summary Fact #2 Human Health

 Spring time sprays have a higher environmental impact (EIQ) to humans heath.

 The choice of which chemicals are used increases or lessens the environmental impact.



Summary Fact #3 Human Health

2,4-D and Atrazine had the highest relative environmental impact.

- In spring 2011, Atrazine and 2, 4-D were detected in 100% of the urine analysis of local residents.
- Concentration of herbicide in urine was higher than EPA drinking water standards (both Barr and OHA studies).



Summary Fact #4 Record Keeping

Spray records are not always accurate or consistent

Under the current Oregon Forest Practices Act researches do not have access to spray data



Summary

Fact #5 Forest Policies

Washington Forest Practices Act provides for:

- Agency and Public Review
- Public Access to spray data
- Buffers around residential property
- Buffers around domestic water
- Oregon Forest Practices Act lack the above environmental health protections



Summary Fact #6 Forest Policies

Federal lands, including BLM and US Forest Service, have not aerially sprayed logging units for more than 20 years.



Recommendations to Oregon Senate



Immediate Actions

- 1. Make Oregon FPA as effective as Washington FPA, at a minimum.
- 2. Study and adopt federal forestry models.
- 3. Convene a 2013 Legislative Interim Task Force on Forest Practices – involve BOF.
- 4. Do not limit work groups to "agencies only." Include NGO's and impacted communities.
- 5. Recommendations for 2014 Legislature.

