

Higher Education Coordinating Commission 775 Court Street NE

Salem, Oregon 97301

institutional reviews each time a new program is brought forward. The structure will also allow for more flexibility in

BETTY DUVALL, CHAIR	April 8, 2013	
NEIL BRYANT, VICE-CHAIR	Senate Committee on Education and Workforce Development 900 Court Street NE, Room 453 Salem, Oregon 97301	
JIM BERNAU		
CHRIS BRANTLEY	RE:	SB102
CHARLENE GOMEZ		
NORI JUBA	Dear Mr. Chair and Members of the Committee:	
AL KING	The undersigned are the chair and the vice-chair of the Higher Education Coordinating Commission ("HECC"). The HECC was appointed July 1, 2012 and has been meeting on a monthly basis to fulfill the responsibilities outlined in the 2011 legislation.	
DAVID KRUMBEIN		
CHARLES MICHAEL		
ROSEMARY POWERS	One of the responsibilities included the oversight of the Office of Degree Authorization ("ODA"). In general, the HECC supports SB102 for the following reasons:	
JAZMIN ROQUE		
TONY VANVLIET		
JAMES WOODS	a a d T S	Currently, there is a significant backlog in the review, pproval, or denial of academic programs. Because of the dvent of more for profit degree programs and more on-line legree programs, the workload has significantly increased. The Emergency Board approved one additional staff in eptember 2012. A New database may need to be purchased o help reduce the backlog.
	a d C A c	Current fees do not accurately reflect the work load associated with each degree. The majority of staff time is levoted to review of applications from on-line out-of -state olleges and evaluation of in-state for-profit colleges. Additionally, in the current fee structure, there are no fees harged for the regular review of program changes and eview of faculty qualifications.
		The proposed fee structure will insure biennial evaluation of each school and reduce redundancy involving whole

staffing assignment and further efficiencies. Additional fees will support a data-management system to allow for a more rapid turnaround of reviews.

We would welcome discussion as to whether or not the legislature wants to be involved in determining what the fees should be. Another option would to delegate that responsibility to the HECC. This would allow more flexibility to adjust the fees based upon workload and the demands of the ODA.

The HECC looks forward to a continued dialogue. The commission is new and on a steep learning curve about ODA and the restructuring of higher education. The HECC clearly recognizes that ODA is not currently fulfilling its obligations to the institutions and students because of the backlog.

Sincerely,

Neil R. Bryant <u>bryant@bljlawyers.com</u> Vice Chair, HECC Betty Duvall <u>duvallb@comcast.net</u> Chair, HECC

cc: Representative Michael Dembrow 900 Court St. NE, H-487 Salem, OR 97301