From: Buzcluck [Sent: Friday, March 29, 2013 3:42 PM To: Newell Channa Subject: SB 91

Channa Newell -

We are asking you to OPPOSE SB 91

We are not a large rental agency with many units for rent. We only own 3 rentals in Portland and therefore **must be careful to rent only to qualified applicants** who have a proven track record of being **law abiding**, paying their rent on time and taking care of their dwelling. SB 91 would forbid us from considering the criminal history of tenant applicants.

We take care of our units and respond promptly to the needs of our tenants. We screen our applicants and rent to the first qualified. **In so doing, we provide our neighborhoods with good neighbors.** In addition, we take classes often to keep up to date with current laws. **SB 91 would <u>severely</u> restrict our freedom** to conduct our business in a proper manner and would instead bring unneeded government intrusion to private enterprise.

Why should other residents be subject to **known criminal neighbors**? Why should the applicant who has had to leave a tenancy because of loss of job, family emergency or other legitimate reason be put at a disadvantage when applying for a unit that this law says must go to a criminal that applied first? This bill says we cannot consider a crime that is not a "person or property crime" - where does the reoccurring drunk driver or identify theft convict fit in here?

Applicants earn their backgrounds - we should be able to decide whether their background works for us and our other tenants.

Please, oppose this intrusive bill. Oppose SB 91.

Sincerely,

David & Marjorie Dilworth