

Testimony Before the Joint Ways and Means Subcommittee on Public Safety Committee

In Support of SB 5534

Presented by: Hasina E. Squires, Oregon APCO/NENA April 5, 2013

Association of Public Safety Communications Officials (APCO) and National Emergency Number Association (NENA) to provide testimony in support of the Oregon Military Department's budget (SB 5534).

INTRODUCTION

Members of the Ways and Means Subcommittee on Public Safety Committee, thank you for the opportunity to appear before you today. For the record my name is Hasina Squires and I appear before you today on behalf of the Oregon Chapters of the Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs) in Oregon with only a few providing basic 9-1-1 service and none provided Enhanced 9-1-1 service.

The 1991 legislature increased the surcharge to 5% and mandated Enhanced 9-1-1 service (9-1-1 caller's address and responsible police, fire and EMS provider displayed to the call-taker). However, in 1995 the 5% surcharge was converted to an approximate equivalent 75-cent surcharge to provide the 9-1-1 program with greater revenue stability and predictability. Revenue is distributed as follows:

- ✓ Sixty and one-half percent (60.5%) of the revenues are distributed to counties and cities for operation of the state's 49 PSAP's. Distribution is in proportion to population, except that no county receives less than 1% of the distribution. The funds may not be used for PSAP operations unless they are directly related to 9-1-1.
- ✓ Thirty-five percent (35 %) of the revenues are managed by Oregon Emergency Management (OEM) a Division of Oregon Military Department to pay for PSAP common network services, Federal Communications Commission Phase I and II wireless services, costs associated with the Enhanced 9-1-1 program which include network, database, equipment and other costs related to providing state-wide wire line and wireless 9-1-1 services.

- ✓ Four percent (actual costs or no more than 4% maximum) of revenues may be used by the Oregon Emergency Management to pay for OEM 9-1-1 program administration and Telecommunicator training at the Department of Public Safety Standards and Training (DPSST).
- ✓ One-half percent (actual costs or no more than 0.5% maximum) of the revenues are distributed to the Department of Revenue for administrative processing cost recovery.



SB 5534 APCO/NENA Testimony April 5, 2013 Page 2

OMD BUDGET OREGON EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT 9-1-1 PROGRAM

9-1-1 revenue is an essential funding component of the local 9-1-1 system. These revenues make up approximately 30% of a 9-1-1 center's operating revenue.

In the past decades, the Legislature has passed legislation diverting the 9-1-1 tax a total of 6 times. Three of the six times the legislation has been vetoed by either Governor's Kitzhaber or Kulongoski.

Currently all interest earnings generated as a result of 9-1-1 tax collections are not re-deposited into the Emergency Communications Account and instead the interest is diverted to the state's general fund. We urge to reconsider this diversion and allow interest earnings from 9-1-1 taxes to provide essential 9-1-1 funding via the Emergency Communications Account.

We urge the members of the Ways and Means Committee to refrain from diverting 9-1-1 funds. These funds should be collected and used for their intended purpose. It is also clear that the federal government feels strongly about this issue. The NET 911 Improvement Act that was passed and signed into law in 2008 contains language that was expected to act as a deterrent to 9-1-1 tax diversions. States that divert 9-1-1 taxes are ineligible (for certain time periods of time) to receive grant funding from the federal E9-1-1 grant program. Oregon is one of nine states that had diverted 9-1-1 funds for General Fund purposes during the current biennium.

Oregonians expect basic 9-1-1 service for emergencies. We support OMD's budget and the 9-1-1 program contained within SB 5534.

> SB 5534 APCO/NENA Testimony April 5, 2013 Page 3