3000 NW Stucki PL STE 230-M Hillsboro OR 97124 503.846.1160 <u>bknewtson@yahoo.com</u> f 503.922.3181

March 19, 2013

Senator Chip Shields, Chair Senate General Government, Small Business and Consumer Protection Committee Services Office 900 Court St. NE, Room 453 Salem, Oregon 97301

Re: SB 525 and amendments SB 525-2 (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)

Dear Chair Shields:

Consumers facing debt collection lawsuits don't have many options for legal assistance. Legal aid rarely assists consumers with those cases. There aren't many consumer attorneys in Oregon who specialize in this area of the law. The cases don't result in high dollar wins and the consumers who need the most help have very little money to pay for legal representation. The issues are complicated and there is very little case law which greatly increases the risk of taking a case. As one of the very few lawyers in Oregon who represent people sued by debt purchasers I fully support these consumer protections.

The number of consumers coming to me for legal advice regarding debt collection by a debt buyer has increased substantially over the last decade. I typically get 10-15 calls a week from consumers seeking advice on their cases. Some consumers contact me for help when they first receive the lawsuit. The first problem we must deal with is the fact that the lawsuits contain minimal information about the origins of the debt, the basis for the amount owed and the age of the debt. These are crucial pieces of information the consumer must have to make an informed decision about the merits of the debt purchaser's claim against them. Attached are example lawsuits filed by the three prominent debt collecting lawfirms, Daniel Gordon PC, Suttell and Hammer PS, and Johnson Mark LLC. As you can see the facts are sparse.

My investigation starts by initiating litigation to request this information. It is not uncommon for the debt purchaser to drop a case rather than comply with my request for documentation on the account once I give them notice of my representation. I have to assume the only reason the debt buyer dropped the case is because they did not have the simple evidence we were requesting. The problem is the vast majority of Oregon consumers do not contact an attorney because the consumer understandably thinks they cannot afford representation. Many are unaware of the defenses to the lawsuit against and come to me for bankruptcy help. At that time it is too late to challenge the merits of the suit and they are forced to file an unnecessary bankruptcy or suffer the harsh realities of having their wages garnished 25%. This is significant economic harm to consumers that could be prevented by simply requiring the debt buyer to provide the consumer and the court the evidence necessary to prove they have a valid claim or to allow a meritorious defense against the claim.

Finally, I want to talk about the consumers who come to me for help when they know they owe something but it's less than the amount in the lawsuit. Whether the difference is \$200 or \$1,000 I have to advise them that even if I don't charge them anything and they prove the error it the debt purchaser will ultimately win the suit and have the right to add fees to the judgment incurred by the debt purchaser in litigating the dispute. SB 525 puts the burden on the debt buyer to ensure they have accurate records by denying the plaintiff attorney fees in cases where the consumer prevails in asserting the amount is incorrect.

Sincerely,

/s/ Bret Knewtson, Attorney



goods, services, and monies loaned in the stated amount. The defendant also agreed in the

By the use of said credit account, said defendant became indebted on said account for

1

2

3

4	credit agreement to pay attorneys fees and costs in the event that legal action was necessary
5	to collect the unpaid balance.
6	V.
7	The defendant has defaulted on the obligation be failing to make payments on the
8	obligation and currently owes the sum of \$2602.14 together with interest thereon at the
9	highest legal rate. More than 30 days prior to filing suit, Plaintiff has made demand on the
10	Defendant. Despite demand, the defendant has failed or refuses to pay.
11	WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for judgment against
12	\$2602.14 together with interest thereon at the highest legal rate, and any further sum which
13	may be proven at the time of trial, and if allowed by law or agreement, costs and a
14	reasonable sum for plaintiff's attorney's fees; and such judgment shall bear interest at the
15	highest legal rate after entry; and that the plaintiff has and receives such other and further
16	relief as in the premises shall appear just and equitable.
17	DATED June 3, 2012.
18	SUTTELL & HAMMER, P.S.
19	lo
20	[] Karen L. Hammer, OSBA #090454 [X] Isaac L. Hammer, OSBA #092137
21	[] Patrick J. Layman, OSBA #025612 [] Mark T. Case, OSBA #105585
22	[] Megan O. Case, OSBA #112084 PO Box C-90006
23	Bellevue, WA, 98009
24	Tel. No. (425)455-8220/(888)788-8355 Fax No. (425)453-3239
25	Oregon@suttelllaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff
	Summons and Complaint - 4 SUTTELL & HAMMER, P.S. PO Box C-90006 BELLEVUE, WA, 98009

425-455-8220/425-453-3239 FAX



discontinuing payment. The Defendant's credit card account was charged off for delinquency 2 on December 11, 2009.

5.

Defendant is indebted to Plaintiff in the sum of \$9,941.13, which includes principal and interest, 4 5 plus interest at the rate of 9% per annum from December 11, 2009 until paid. Plaintiff is also 6 entitled to actual costs, with interest thereon at the rate of 9% per annum from the date of judgment 7 until paid.

6.

9 The Court should authorize Plaintiff and its attorneys to contact third persons and entities for the purpose of collecting its judgment entered in this court. The Court should also authorize 10 11 Plaintiff and its attorneys to reveal the existence of Defendant's debt to such third persons and 12 entities.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:

- 1. The sum of \$9,941.13, which includes principal and interest, plus interest at the rate of 9% per annum from December 11, 2009 until paid;
 - 2. Plaintiff's costs and disbursements incurred herein, with interest thereon at the rate of 9% per annum from the date of judgment until paid;
 - 3. Authorize Plaintiff, its agents, attorneys and assigns to contact third persons and entities for the purpose of collecting its judgment entered in this court and to reveal the existence of Defendant's debt to such third persons and entities.

day of December, 2012. Dated this

ØANIEL N. GORDON, P

[]Matthew R. Aylworth, OSB#070930 []Eleanor Tami, OSB#105214 of Attorneys for Plaintiff Trial Attorney not yet appointed

Page 2 -- Complaint

1

3

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Email: info@dgordonpc.com

Daniel N. Gordon, P.C. Attorney and Counselor at Law 4023 W 1st Ave / P.O. Box 22338

Eugene, OR 97402

Phone: (541) 342-2276 Fax: (541) 343-8059

APR 30 2010,



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

25

26

Defendant agreed to make payments on the Account. Defendant breached the agreement with the Original Creditor by failing to make all payments as agreed. Such breach of agreement caused the Original Creditor to suffer damages in the amount of \$6.017.63 less any payment made. Upon default by Defendant, the Original Creditor requested full payment of the Account balance.

5.

Plaintiff acquired the Account from the Original Creditor or its lawful successors-ininterest through a valid purchase and assignment. Under Oregon law, Plaintiff has full right to collect on the Account in accordance with all of the terms and conditions of the Agreement.

6.

As part of the Agreement, Defendant agreed to pay all courts costs and attorney fees incurred in enforcing the Agreement. Further, more than ten days before filing this action, written demand was made on Defendant for payment of all sums due, but the balance remains unpaid. Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to reasonable attorney fees under ORS 20.082, as applicable, as well as under the Agreement.

7.

As part of the Agreement, Defendant agreed to pay interest on the amounts borrowed. The applicable rate is 9.00%, as specified in the terms of the Agreement; or, if the Agreement rate is found not to apply, as provided by ORS 82.010.

> SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (*Quantum Meruit*)

COMPLAINT 351967 \ 70003

8.

IN THE ALTERNATIVE, Plaintiff pleads a claim of Quantum Meruit. Plaintiff incorporates into this claim paragraph 1. Principles of equity also require Defendant to pay for the benefit conferred on Defendant by the Original Creditor's extension of credit. Defendant was fully aware of the benefit received. Under the circumstances, it would be unjust to allow Defendant to retain this benefit without requiring Defendant to pay the value thereof.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant for the following amounts:

1. The Account balance of \$6,017.63 less any payments made.

2. Interest, both prejudgment and post judgment, at 9.00% per year until paid.

3. Reasonable attorney fees and court costs.

4. Any other relief the Court deems just and equitable.

DATE: April 19, 2010.

JOHNSON MARK LLC

John H. Wilkinson (082276)

351967\