| EXHIBIT: 之 | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| 2012 SESSION DATE: 2 - 3 - | SEN. JUDICIARY |
| SUBMITTED B | Y: Perry |
| | 0, |



| Date: | February 1, 2012 |
|-------|---|
| To: | Chair Prozanski & Members of the Senate Judiciary Committee |
| From: | Bill Perry, Oregon Restaurant & Lodging Association |
| Re: | Senate Bill 1524 – Private Security Certifications / OLCC Licensees |

Senator Prozanski and Members of the Committee,

First, let me start by saying that I'm sorry that this is the third time I have been in front of this committee to try and fix this issue, but I think we now have a solution.

We obviously thought the problem was fixed, and I believe many of the legislators in this building felt the same way. I have included a line from both the Senate and House Staff Measure Summaries that state what we felt the previous bill accomplished.

Senate SMS - SB 878

"Senate Bill 878 A would expand the OLCC exception to cover individuals tasked by an OLCC licensee to control access at the entrance of the premises."

House SMS - SB 878

"Senate Bill 878 B expands the OLCC exception to cover individuals tasked by an OLCC licensee to control access at any entrance to the premises of the licensee. These individuals are not permitted to initiate confrontational activities."

We discovered the confusion was based on the "and" on page 3, line 31 of the printed SB 1524. That "and" made the exemption only apply to special events that already had trained personnel on duty.

So the change would add a new section (L) (*which I believe should be lower case(l*)) so that employees working the door of a restaurant or tavern would be under separate consideration from the special events discussion.

We made two concessions from the House-amended Senate Bill that passed the House 56-0 and the Senate 26-2. These were to address concerns raised by representatives of local government.

If you look at the new section (L), there is now a requirement that the person have an OLCC servers permit, and that the employee is not allowed to confiscate personal property. There was concern that confrontation arose from the confiscation of identifications, so that would not be allowed at the door unless the employee has training.

Please support SB 1524 and hope that we have the issue fixed once and for all. I would be happy to answer any questions.

Bill Perry

Oregon Restaurant & Lodging Association