## 76TH OREGON LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY 2012 Regular Session STAFF MEASURE SUMMARY HOUSE REVENUE COMMITTEE

MEASURE: HB 4041-2 CARRIER:

REVENUE: Revenue Impact Statement Issued FISCAL: No Fiscal Impact Action: Vote:

> Yeas: Nays: Exc.:

**Prepared By:** Christine Broniak, Economist **Meeting Dates:** 2/6, 2/10

**WHAT THE BILL DOES**: Exempts from taxation property owned exclusively by eligible Indian tribe or by entity wholly owned by eligible Indian tribe if property is used exclusively for certain government services.

**ISSUES DISCUSSED:** 

- ٠
- •

**EFFECT OF COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS:** Removes the tax exemption for low income housing provided by tribal government.

**BACKGROUND:** Properties which provide tribal government services on Indian Reservations and Trust lands are already exempt from taxation. Occasionally, properties that provide these services will be off the reservation or trust lands and become taxable. This measure would extend property tax exemptions to buildings providing tribal government services.

State Capitol Building 900 Court St NE, Room 143 Salem, OR 97301-1347 Phone: 503-986-1266 Fax: 503-986-1770 http:/www.leg.state.or.us

## **REVENUE IMPACT OF** PROPOSED LEGISLATION

Seventy-Sixth Oregon Legislative Assembly 2012 Regular Session Legislative Revenue Office

HB 4041 - 2 **Bill Number:** Revenue Area: Property Tax **Christine Broniak** Economist: 2-10-2012 Date:

## **Measure Description:**

Exempts from taxation property owned exclusively by eligible Indian tribe or by entity wholly owned by eligible Indian tribe if property is used exclusively for certain government services. Removes properties rented or used for low-income housing from exemption.

Revenue Impact (in \$Millions): The revenue impact has two components. The first is \$100,000 in existing tax liability growing at 3% per year. The second is a range based on projections of new construction or acquisitions of properties used for qualifying governmental services assumed to be accounting for \$8,316 per biennium in tax liability from new construction, also growing at 3% per year.

		2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
Existing Properties Impact	Counties and Local Taxing Districts	-\$0.060	-\$0.125	-\$0.133
	Schools	-\$0.040	-\$0.084	-\$0.089
Future Properties Impact	Counties and Local Taxing Districts	-\$0.003	-\$0.015	-\$0.063
	Schools	-\$0.002	-\$0.010	-\$0.042
Total	Counties and Local Taxing Districts	-\$0.063	-\$0.140	-\$0.196
	Schools	-\$0.042	-\$0.094	-\$0.131

These revenues accrue to counties, schools, and local taxing districts.

Impact Explanation: The measure, as amended, would exempt certain properties in use for tribal government from property tax if they are equivalent to services that a state and local government or the federal government would customarily provide its citizens. The properties affected include those used for administration, health facilities, education, transportation, fire, police, cemeteries, and limited utility services.

There are 6 tribes with property around the state that would be affected. A number of tribes have offices in major cities that would be affected by this measure including the Grande Ronde and Siletz Tribes. The Siletz Tribe has three properties in Portland, Eugene, and Salem, with a tax liability of \$59,200. The Grande Ronde Tribe has administrative, police, fire services, and cemeteries in Yamhill, Polk, and Multnomah counties with a tax liability of \$23,043. The Coquille Tribe has \$4,144 in tax liability for administrative properties that would be exempt. The Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservations have only \$475 of tax liability that would become exempt under this measure. The Confederated Tribes of the Coos are planning to build property for low-income housing that would be exempt under this measure. The total tax liability on existing properties is slightly more than \$100,000.

If properties used for qualifying tribal government purposes were acquired or constructed outside of reservations or trust lands, this measure would exempt them from property tax. If this measure is the factor which determines that these properties would be newly constructed, then it has no revenue impact. If the measure is driving the acquisition of existing properties that are currently taxable and rendering them tax exempt, then there is a revenue impact. If these properties would have been built or acquired regardless of whether this measure exempts them from property taxes, the impact would be equal to future tax liability on such properties and is estimated. A revenue estimate has been developed for new property with the assumption that an additional \$75,000 in real market value is constructed or acquired per biennium with an assessed value of \$51,600 and an average tax rate of 16 percent. Such property would have a tax liability of \$8,256. The removal of the low income housing exemption is assumed to account for \$25,000 in the newly constructed or acquired value each biennium. Revenue impact statements for the other amendments which include this component account for \$25,000 more per biennium in property value, or \$100,000 total.

## Creates, Extends, or Expands Tax Expenditure: Yes 🖂 No 🗌

The committee may state a policy purpose when the bill is heard. A suggested purpose is to achieve parity between tribes and other governmental entities in property taxes.