MEASURE: 5B 189

EXHIBIT: R

Senate Finance and Revenue 76th Session

DATE: 03.28.2011 PAGES: 1 SUBMITTED BY: Jim Todo

Sen Whitsett

From: Sent: Jim Toddy [jetcaribou9@charter.net] Tuesday, January 18, 2011 8:22 AM

To: Subject: Rep Garrard; Sen Whitsett klamath county road fund

Dear Sirs:

I do not comment on issues to our elected officials, however, this matter involving the Klamath County Road fund is some concern of me. If one would look back and observed the comments by our county commissioners, one initially objected to a swap of money between the city and county, alluding that this swap is "money laundering"; then another comment by this same commissioner switching fences and stating "she would rather have the money for the sheriff's patrols than fixing pot holes". Both comments are absurb to say the least. The comment by Commissioner Sweitzer in the 1-4-11 board meeting indicated this money would be utilized for patrols. One would think, why not the jail, since all the issues in the newspapers are about individuals who fail to appear for thier court appearances. If we have additional patrols, officers would make more arrests, toss them in jail, then they are released because they do not meet the criteria and fail to appear. If this money is to fund opening the jail fully, then I along with other taxpayers in Klamath County most likely will support it. But not in this fashion and you should not support it.

Thank you for your time reading my concerns.

Jim Toddy Klamath Falls

I totall agree with the comments I read that this is not a State of Oregon issue, but a Klamath County issue and should be promoted by the Klamath County Commissioners to the legislature.

If the road fund money is removed for this issue, then when is the next time money is needed from the road fund.