MEASURE: <u>SB</u> 189

EXHIBIT: #

Senate Finance and Revenue 76th Session

DATE: 03.28.3011 PAGES: 4
SUBMITTED BY: B; 11 BROWN

To: Honorable Senators reviewing SB 189 From: Bill Brown, Klamath County citizen

Date: March 28, 2011

Re: Testimony opposing SB 189

Thank you Senators for providing Klamath County citizens this hearing to discuss the pros and cons of SB 189 which authorizes Klamath County to use Secured Rural Schools Federal funding for the purpose of patrolling Klamath County roads through January 4, 2014.

So, who am I and why does this hearing interest me enough to lay off work to drive to Salem for this hearing? I am from a seven generation Oregonian family with the past six generations living and working in Klamath County. I am a retired educator with three years teaching manufacturing in California and 27 total years in Klamath County, City and Lake County schools as Career and Vocational Education Director, OIT Manufacturing Professor and Community, Contract and continuing Education Director for Klamath Community College. I served as Klamath County Commissioner from Jan 2005-Jan. 2009. I did not seek re-election due to health issues. Currently, I am enjoying retirement with family and friends, part-time farming and a member of the Klamath County Patriots, a Tea Party Organization. Yes, there were and are educators that believe in limited government, fiscal accountability at all levels of government and adherence to the U.S. and Oregon State Constitutions. While I am a member of the Klamath County Patriots, my input to you is as a concerned citizen and I do not represent the Klamath County Patriots. I promised myself I would restrain from presenting my opinions publically for one year and, while I bit my lip many times, I kept that promise in respect for the commissioner position. I have been out of office for two years now and decided it was definitely time to speak up on issues that truly concern me and my family and friends and that is why I am here today.

I have two basic issues against passage of SB 189:

## 1. Lack of Financial Planning and Excessive Spending in Klamath County:

Counties and Cities that have gone through proper planning have been able to weather the storm of our economic situation the past three years. Jackson County is an obvious model of intelligent and thoughtful planning in which they have been able to build a reserve of approximately 127 million dollars according to their commissioners. Klamath County chose not to plan ahead and in any significant way and has spent their funds to the point of a reserve of \$300,000-\$600,000 depending on their audit and sloppy accounting practices. I personally did not vote to approve the Klamath County Budget for three of my four years in office due to excessive spending practices and my inability to persuade Commissioners Switzer and Elliott to put reserves away for a rainy day.

An example is when I left office in Jan 2009; we had finally, due to educated and knowledgeable lay budget members, set aside approximately \$1.5 Million in reserve. Those lay budget members resigned due to Commissioners Switzer, Elliot and Hukill not following ethical financial decision processes in approving a Klamath County Road Shop Facility with a price tag of approximately \$11 million for a new Road Department Facility without going through the normal budget process for such a large expenditure. This figure does not include prior expenditures of approximately \$4.8 million including land, engineering and incidentals of which I was not a commissioner. In fact I was adamantly opposed to the new facility from the time I became Commissioner until I fulfilled my term. This funding came from our Road Reserve including Secure Rural Schools Federal Funding and Oregon Gas Tax receipts that had built up for the specific purpose of maintaining our roads, repairing bridges and the ability to use the interest from these funds to continue to employ Road Department personnel once the federal funding had disappeared. The former shop was a very good and useable facility, especially after Commissioners Switzer, Elliott and I voted unanimously around May of 2008 to

replace the roof and repair the geothermal heating at a cost of nearly \$75,000 giving the facility an additional 20 year life. This new shop facility was not necessary especially given the uncertainty of federal funding.

As another example, those three commissioners and a new set of like minded lay budget committee members increased the FY 2009-2010 budget by 24.8% while having to make significant cuts during the FY 2010-2011. Another example of not thinking ahead. (See Attached Budget Summary for more examples)

So, what is the message here? I believe based on my experience in office and as a concerned citizen that Commissioners Switzer, Elliott and Hukill do not deserve a Tax Payer Bail-Out for excessive spending practices and for jeopardizing the Road Reserve Fund any further than they already have.

## 2. Inaccurate Accounting Practices:

Klamath County is currently under several investigations for poor managerial practices and inaccurate accounting practices. The Oregon Attorney General has determined that Klamath County Officials can spend Secured Rural Schools funds in order to **Bail Out** the commissioners but they cannot utilize Oregon Gas Tax Funds or the interest from the Gas Tax Funds to fund anything but the Road Department. Since these funds have been historically comingled it will be very difficult, given the sloppy accounting practices that have been ongoing for years, to determine which funds Klamath County may be using to support SB189 if passed. Having been involved with very large budgets in our schools as an administrator for 22 of my 27 years in Klamath County Educational budgets, I was amazed when I became a Commissioner at the inability to provide a budget that was understandable and accurate. Commissioner Switzer and Elliott were so impressed with our Treasurer that they voted him a 14% wage increase in 2007 followed by Commissioner Hukill, prior to her taking office in 2009, called our treasurer the "Treasure of Klamath County". Now, all citizens of Klamath County know that our accounting systems and budget information was inaccurate for a number of years.

So, what is the message here? From my past experience and current investigations, I believe that SB189 should not be approved especially until the financial management investigations are complete and we know who all will be held responsible for these sloppy accounting processes.

## **Conclusion:**

While we all believe that adequate law enforcement is critical to our citizens. I do not believe we should utilize tax dollars to fund money swaps with the city using secured rural school funding or utilizing said funds to backfill poor budgeting decisions. This problem was brought on by a philosophy that we can spend, spend, spend and the taxpayers will be forced to approve a levy or tax base. I have no problem with the sheriff levy being proposed whereby our citizens will have the opportunity to decide the outcome. In discussions with many people in our communities, I believe our elected officials have under-rated the wisdom of our citizens. I also see no dollar limitations in this bill which will serve as an open check book for the current Commissioners, with the exception of newly elected Commissioner Linthicum, and the current lay Budget Committee Members. I believe, as stated by Representative Bill Garrard in the Herald and News that we must all live within our budgets. Governor Kitzhaber has heard the message and presented a budget that, while I have some personal problems with, overall is a very good start to accomplishing that goal.

Thank you for taking time to hear my concerns related to SB189 and I urge you not to pass this bill.

Bill Brown 642 Pacific Terrace Klamath Falls, Oregon 97601 KLAMATH COUNTY PROGRAM BUDGET SUMMARY BY CATEGORY - EXPENDITURES

KLAMATH COUNTY PROGRAM BUDGET SUMMARY BY CATEGORY - EXPENDITURES (Revised 3/26/10)									
	Actual 2002-03	Actual 2003-04	Actual 2004-05	Actual 2005-06	Actual 2006-07	Actual 2007-98	Actual	Budget	6udget 2010-11
<u>ADMINISTRATION</u>	878,845	882,881	885,275	930,095	958,993	977,664	948,150	967,057	920,197
ASSESSOR CLERK	469,783	459,460	476,966	.440,029	520,833	522,955 296,034	559,019 315,732	624.574 328,220	561,778
COMMISSIONERS	298,483 1,597,553	298,649 1,595,123	305,829 1,599,535	265,218 1,595,215	292,514 1,599,800	1,598,500	1,598,945	1,598.895	327,873 1.598,295
COURTHOUSE BOND & INTEREST COURTHOUSE CONSTRUCTION RES	5,511	1,084	۵	0	0	0	0	() 710.546	0
FINANCE	580,415	641,184 145,901	646,665 154,038	560,212 208,882	601,865 231.051	603,296 251,763	682,332 193,903	713.546 202,475	585,385 203,969
GENERAL ADMINISTRATION GENERAL FUND NON-DEPARTMENTAL	139,855 1,006,850	1,019,468	985,494	984,115	1,164,307	1,555,209	1,711,363	3,182.879	1,853,412
COMM ACCESS BROADCAST	0	0 700	0 855,850	0 933,507	0 963,766	0 700,661	0 859,811	0 1,013,645	0 894,150
PROPERTY SALES FUND TREASURER	501,926 97,875	958,799 97,821	99,979	108,479	112,255	117,620	94,562	109,047	62,128
TAX COLLECTOR	258,919	272,968	265,648	276,651	271,723	285,351	284,930	312,796	312,009
Administration Totals	5,836,013	6,373,337 + <b>9.2<i>2</i>6</b>	6,275,279 -1.5%	6,322,403 + 19,7 # 7	6,717,107 g	6,909,052 12.9%	7.246,747 +N. 9%	9,053,134 +24,8%	7,319,196
AGRICULTURAL			71,059	66,880	77,261	85,322	108,913	117,114	95,975
AG. EXPERIMENT STATION EXP. STATION RESEARCH	67,991 16,104	67,991 17,715	31,142	47,407	16,884	30,246	27,564	47,525	44,275
OSU COOPERATIVE EXTENSION	228,362	218,947	252,880	391,317 5,422	540,928 4,462	322,792 3,763	230,810 3,777	416,797 7,500	244,893 7,500
TAYLOR GRAZING	3,878 55,656	4,619 53,574	4,409 55,999	57,695	63.874	66,317	69,091	73,745	83,545
WATERMASTER WEED CONTROL	164,082	189,281	155,303	182,970	182,699	203,414	201,908	289,736	315,000
Agricultural Totals	536,073	552,127 43.0 /4	570,792 43-4%	751,691 431,7%	886,108 +17-99	711,853	642,063 - <b>9.8%</b>	952,417 +48,3/	9 791,188
COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELO	PMENT		,	1,125,796	1,407,631	1,344,179	1,391,455	1,499,103	1,187,488
BUILDING	697,962 185,707	720,154 317,545	836,102 379,290	374,231	439,261	370,200	409,085	530,528	480,651
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CENTER COMMUNITY SERVICES	0	0	0	0	0 5,228	0	0	0	0
COUNTY REVOLVING LOANS	0 183,547	0 963,235	1.42,675 256,025	18,937 361,720	391,378	377,976	0	0	ő
ELECTRICAL ENFORCEMENT	00,547	0	0	0	0	69,742	108,191	144,337	98,925
VIOLATION RESERVE	0	0	0 235,505	0 342,350	0 420,709	0 323,053	0 226,421	7,600 256,084	8,745 188,610
ON-SITE (SEWER) ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FUND	154,058	150,026	162,202	210,928	168,284	596,034	268,232	311,046	226,564
PLANNING	352,816	423,058	447,587 83,852	468,580 31,686	651,721 42,147	493,898 33,207	384,801 34,473	598,458 1,060,249	451,784 1,168,000
SURVEYOR FEDERAL FOREST TITLE III	64,647 0	63,516 0	00,032	424,518	1,228,051	954,780	681,148	1,603,690	2,101,695
, O&C FOREST TITLE III	Ö	0	0 58,891	9,502 38,643	18,625 78,183	88,455 117,775	420,232 274,191	921,732 275,818	327,366 801,338
TOURISM	216,214	159,677							
Comm. & Econ. Dev. Totals	1,854,952	2,797,212 450.8/2	2,602,129 	3,406,891 ナ <b>30</b> -ラク	4,851,218	4,769,299 2-1-790	4,198,229 -12-010	7,208,645	7,041,166 -2.3%
COMMUNITY SERVICES	3,248,433	3,287,652	3,331,836	3,412,264	6,253,978	3,654,024	4,210,710	3,165,934	2,807,607
COUNTY SCHOOLS FAIRGROUNDS	764,531	782,901	792,434 522,185	820,750 606,185	937,743 586,185	1,055,736 566,185	1,013,922 546,218	1,217,531 626,700	1,275,225 0
FAIRGROUNDS BOND & INTEREST	476,185 70,484	538,185 78,327	76,371	74,924	79,345	80,133	136,226	117,502	127,262
LAW LIBRARY LIBRARY	0	0	109 773	0 210,460	0 275,372	0 281,686	0 527,754	0 764,913	0 512,995
MUSEUM - COMPLEX/SALES	222,895 132,550	237,112 78,003	193,772 558,618	103,265	206,202	95,661	196,969	192,269	357,850
PARKS PARK RESERVES	0	0	0	0	0	50,000	0	120,250	145.750
Community Services Totals	4,915,078	5,002,180	5,475,416	5,227,648	8,338,825	5,783,425	6,631,799	6,205,099	5,226,689
HUMAN SERVIÇES					017017	201 476	700 050	696 490	679,128
COMMISSION ON FAM & CHILDREN	787,147	611,686 0	675,178 0	788,982 0	617,647 0	731,476 0	732,656 11 <b>6</b> ,574	635,122 0	0/9,120
KLCAS	0 6,319,064		8,196,371	8,451,315		7,407,721	8,837,500	12,138,489	12,519,267
MENTAL HEALTH PUBLIC HEALTH	2,033,405	2,149,709	1,875,095 0	1,955,486 0	2,229,876 28,900	2,187,164 120,720	2,360,313 117,773	2,740,773 58,986	2,741,321 57,500
COMMUNITY GRANTS	0 116,961		127,891	157,252	-		246,854	189,820	201.961
VETERAN'S SERVICES Human Services Totals	11,256,578		10,874,535	11,353,035	12,539.345	10,611,021	12,411,770	15,763,190	16,199,177
							***	630.555	000.045
INTERNAL SERVICES COUNTY COUNSEL	163,011		167,556	198,482 715,493			230,961 1,011,869	270,305 1,115,899	268,010 1,115,344
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	607,784 654,181		672,785 557,920	1,288,001			2,418,792	4,533,283	4,476,206
EQUIPMENT RESERVE NON-DEPARTMENTAL	1,971,970	1,996,108	1,799,124	1,902,992			2,168,737 335,862	2,615,108 405,942	2,643,581 470,584
HUMAN RESOURCES	354,862		321,120 1,243,889	344,547 1,397,420				1,686,018	2,195,386
RISK MANAGEMENT SPACE RENT (MAINTENANCE)	1,302,058 1,369,499		1,211,247	1,660,092			1,443,029	2.392,396	2,412,337
internal Services Totals	6,423,366	6,888,524	5,973,641	7,507,027	7,741,043	7,790,767	9,146,391	13,018,951	13,582,548
PUBLIC SAFETY	* *	6 246 667	3,273,083	3,287,103	3,746.686	3,943,442	3,881,540	4,741,771	4 581,873
COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS	2,855,797 47,678		79,786	107,561	98 408	101,747	103,004	124,954	95,085
COURT FACILITY SECURITY DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	365,245	346.770	303,698	535,719 612,549				240,202 880,916	319.059 201,231
SHERIFF SPECIAL REVENUE	20,366		12,293 0	(	) (	140,546	143,593	154,031	122,623
SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT (DA) DISTRICT ATTORNEY	1,267,63	1,288.919	1,316,868	1,308,513 7,28				1,430,785 21,499	1,222,921 22,100
DIST, ATT, ENFORCEMENT	15,40: 261,026		7,473 305,519	325,48		3 267,898	275,757	384,972	382,173
DOG CONTROL EMERGENCY SERVICES	97.62	132,360	161,631	139,18				137,030 227.682	115,724 207,173
Taketice COURT	161.58	152,453	170,438				. 2:1.001	£1.1.902	2011.70
		·		in the second se	بينالصيه احتصيرون الدالة				

4

	KLAMATH COU	NTY PROGRAM	A BUDGET SUMN	ARY BY CATE	GORY - EXPE	NDITURES			
÷	Actual 2002-03	Actual 2003-04	Actual 2004-05	Actual 2005-06	Actual 2006-07	Actual 2007-08	Actual 2008-09	(Revised 3/26/1) Budget 2009-10	Budget 2010-11
JUVENILE DEPARTMENT  JUVENILE SPECIAL PROJECTS  SHERIFF	1,346,288 1,365,489 6,364,653	1,312,829 873,231 6,499,165	1,415,007 13,935 6,607,907	1,476,391 671,544 7,036,588	1,593,502 85,641 7,566,712	1,661,027 0 7,320,500	1,497,650 0 8,068,551	1,729,906 4,038 8,869,504	1,496,490 4,100 7,687,517
Public Safety Totals	14,168,784	13,747,861	13,667,038	15,707,930	16,050,252	15,267,542	17,165,395	18,947,490. 411.0%	16,458,069
PUBLIC WORKS BICYCLE TRAIL FUND K F STREETS LANDFILL SITE RESERVE RECYCLING ROAD DEPARTMENT	69,598 617,212 109,583 43,810 13,053,947 778,728	8,823 588,228 1,145,531 51,391 5,767,193 778,728	7,779 306,938 0 63,150 12,547,576 2,383,025	85 150,000 0 62,163 13,571,583 2,960,599	0 150,000 0 68,153 15,496,202 2,493,893	0 1,851,374 0 127,374 13,495,773 2,285,412	76,296 150,000 0 117,875 16,041,489 495,919	203,202 2,354,905 5,352,000 254,515 47,209,810 79,950,000	207,000 3,081,453 6,479,424 181,800 43,625,150 71,400,000

3,458,951

3,173,877

18,482,345

63,921,175

5,076,631

20,203,381 23,284,879 23,202,426

5,442,494

70,480,206 80,408,777 75,045,385 78,527,007 211,467,002

4,203,034

21,084,613 140,318,076

4,993,644

5,185,950

130,160,777

196,778,810

Public Works Totals

Total County Expenditures

ROAD RESERVES

SOLID WASTE

778,728

1,555,918

16,228,796

61,219,640

778,728 2,496,828

10,836,723

56,484,392