Lori Sattenspiel

MEASURE: EXHIBIT:

HOUSE REVENUE COMMITTEE

From:

Chuck Rhoads [chuck@reynolds.k12.or.us]

Sent:

Monday, March 14, 2011 9:06 AM

To:

Lori Sattenspiel

Subject:

RE: HB 3397 Charter school funding

Lori,

Reynolds has several charters: Arthur Academy K-5; MLA - K-6; Knova - K-5; Kaplan secondary; ACE Academy - High School.

Our elementary funding is varied: Arthur at 95%; MLA at 95%; Knova at 80%; Kaplan and Ace at 95%.

ELNOLD?

Here are some of our overhead costs associated with the charter;

- Monthly reconciliation of ADMw.
- Reconciliation of payments. 2.
- 3. Monitoring of Food Service Program
- 4. Assist with Transportation of students.
- 5. Oversight of students on IEp's.
- Oversight of ELL programs.

A great deal of our time is spent ensuring that each of the charters are following the state guidelines for each of the programs offered. Ensuring each charter is in compliance with IEP's, ELL, Title funds etc.

Dur experience with the ITax money was that the charters are not set up to deal with the reporting requirements, from an accounting standpoint, nor were they able to adequately show how Federal funds were expended in the pro[per categories.

The actual cost for each charter has not been tracked independently. However, there is a fraction of time spent by the Director of Student Services, the Director of ELL programs; the Director of Federal Programs; their staff time, the business office staff time, all adds up. I do not think Reynolds is in a break even position with the allocations.

Reynolds has good relations with all of the charters schools, and have even renewed one with a 10 year contract.

Overall, I think the minimum funding requirements work. Each Board has the right to increase it. The other areas are around compliance issues, and most charters struggle to understand and comply with all of the reporting requirements.

I am happy to try and answer other questions.

Dr. Chuck Rhoads Executive Director of Business Services Revnolds School District 503-661-7200

Angie

Lincoln County

----Original Message----

From: Julie Baldwin [mailto:Julie.Baldwin@lincoln.k12.or.us]

Sent: Monday, March 14, 2011 6:05 PM

To: Angie Peterman

Subject: Re: Fwd: HB 3397 Charter school funding

Angie,

Lincoln County has the four Charter Schools:

Eddyville Charter K-12 Lincoln City Career Tech 9-12 Siletz Valley Charter K-8 Siletz Valley Early College Academy 9-12

We fund all K-8 at 80% and 9-12 school at 95%.

Our overhead costs associated with our Charters are the following:

Monthly reconciliation of ADMw Reconciliation of payments Assist with Transportation of students Oversight of students on IEp's. Oversight of Title programs.

We spend a fair amount of time ensuring that each of the charters are following the state guidelines for each of the programs offered.

Ensuring each charter is in compliance with IEP's, ELL, Title funds etc.

The actual cost for each charter has not been tracked independently. However, there is a time spent by the Special Programs Administrator, Federal Programs/Operations Administrator and the Business Manager. Last time we made calculations on Special Ed instruction, even with the double-weight were not in a break even position.

Lincoln County has good relations with all Charter Schools.

I would not like to see any change in the funding requirements. If individual Districts are interested in sharing a higher percentage with their Charters, they have the opportunity to do so.

This was put together quickly, if you need more details, please let me know. I'm happy to help in any way I can.