<b>REVENUE IMPACT OF</b>
PROPOSED LEGISLATION
75th Oregon Legislative Assembly
2009 Regular Session
Legislative Revenue Office

Bill Number:	HB 2287 B
Revenue Area:	Court Fees
Economist:	Mazen Malik
Date:	6/22/09

## **Measure Description:**

Establishes the Judicial System Surcharge account in the General Fund. Establishes a trigger for distributions of revenues in the account, and the distributions of revenues left in the account after appropriations. Extends current surcharges (that sunset in June) to October 2009. Reinstates the same surcharges from October 2009 until July 2011. Establishes new surcharges and fees on offenses, increases filling fees, probation fees, probate accounting fees and other existing fees. Sunsets the increases and returns fees to their current levels in July 2011. Establishes an Interim Committee on State Justice System Revenues to report to the 2011 regular session.

## **Revenue Impact:**

(in \$Millions)	Fiscal Year		Biennium	
	2009-10	2010-11	2009-11	
Judicial System Surcharge Account (GF)				
Extension of surcharges	0.384	0.384	\$ 0.768	
Offenses Surcharges	4.071	5.428	\$ 9.500	
New Civil Fees	11.48	15.307	\$ 26.788	
Increase to Existing Fees	1.175	1.566	\$ 2.741	
Total State Revenue	\$17.056	\$22.741	\$39.797	
Local Municipal Courts (Cities)	5.2	6.9	\$13.1	
Local Justice Courts (Counties)	2.5	3.5	\$6.0	
Grand Total All Revenue	\$24.701	\$33.085	\$57.786	
Distri	ibutions			
DOR Admin Costs (0.05%)			\$0.020	
Judicial Department (65%)			\$6.5	
Public Defense Services Commission (35%)			\$3.5	
Judicial System Surcharge Account			\$29.777	
Municipal Courts (Cities)			\$13.1	
Justice Courts (Counties)			\$6.0	
mbers might not total due to rounding.				

Numbers might not total due to rounding.

State Capitol Building 900 Court St NE, Room 143 Salem, Oregon 97301-1347 Phone (503) 986-1266 Fax (503) 986-1770 http://www.leg.state.or.us/comm/lro/

## Impact Explanation:

This bill is a package of revenue options for the Judicial Department that raise around \$40 million in the next biennium. It directs the revenue to the Judicial System Surcharge Account, and distributes (after DOR admin cost) the first \$10 million to the Judicial Department (65%) and Public Defense Services (35%). The remaining amounts in the Surcharge account will be examined and distributed by future legislative action.

The revenue proposal is divided into four categories:

- Extension of existing surcharges. Extending the current surcharges on filing fees that would have expired in June 09, to October 09 (\$98,160), then form October 09 to the end of the biennium (July 2001).
- (2) Create new surcharges on offenses (\$35 on felonies and Misdemeanors, and \$45 on violations excluding parking).

Revenue Category	Rate Increase	Net Revenue Generated 2009-11
(2) Assessment		
Violations	\$ 45	\$ 7,330,000
Misdemeanors	\$ 35	\$1,404,000
Felonies	\$ 35	\$ 765,700
Sub-Total		\$ 9,499,700

(3) Imposes new fees on civil actions such as filling, probation, probate accounting, diversion program, expunction, appeal fees and others.

<ul> <li>(4) Increases some existing fees. Such as trial, hearing, name change, garnishment and other collection fees.</li> <li>The State Judicial System Surcharge Account is created in the General Fund to bridge the shortfall in revenues related to maintaining the court system. The new fees and surcharges are to sunset at the end of next biennium. A task force is established in the Interim to provide recommendations for future stable revenue sources for the state court system. The bill will allow</li> </ul>	(3) New Civil Fees PSAS/PSFE (increase by \$100 to \$200) Security Release Deposits Third Party Amount in Controversy Escalator Court Monitoring Fee Annual Accounting Fee - Probate Probation Violation Assessment Diversion Administration Fee Expungement Appellate Filing w/Additional Parties (civil only)	Rate \$ 100 remove cap varies \$ 100 \$ 25 \$ 250 \$ 250 \$ 154	Net Revenue \$5,400,000 \$ 3,476,600 \$ 290,000 \$ 1,430,000 \$ 2,918,100 \$ 1,257,600 \$ 598,500 \$ 1,126,100 \$ 2,046,500 \$ 478,000
	Fee for settlement conferences Appellate Response w/Additional Parties Motion Fee for Extension of time on appellate Ex Parte Fee Multiple party - civil Sub-Total	\$ 100 \$ 154 \$ 50 \$ 10 \$117	\$ 750,700 \$ 317,900 \$ 265,600 \$ 1,010,600 \$ 5,421,500 <b>\$ 26,787,700</b>
	(4) Increases to Existing Fees Court Trial Fee Court Hearing fee Defendant denying claim and demanding hearing Name Change Tax Court Writ of Garnishment FED	Rate varies varies \$ 50 \$ 61 varies \$ 6 \$ 12	Net Revenue           \$ 212,700           \$ 556,200           \$ 573,100           \$ 310,600           \$ 260,500           \$ 329,200           \$ 499,100
the local courts to keep the fees they raise for their own courts.	Sub-Total		\$ 2,741,400

## Creates, Extends, or Expands Tax Expenditure:

Yes 🗌 No 🖂